ERRATA: THE GENERALIZED PARTIAL CORRESPONDENCE PRINCIPLE IN LINEAR VISCOELASTICITY*

Βy

G. A. C. GRAHAM (Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Ireland)

AND

J. M. GOLDEN (Environmental Research Unit, Dublin, Ireland)

The proof of the intermediate result (4.11) was flawed. A simple demonstration of this equation is given here. Consider

$$F(t') = \frac{1}{l_e} \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' l(t' - t'') D(t'')$$

where

 $l(t) = l_0 \delta(t) + l_1(t)$

under two alternative histories: (1) where a sudden decrease of contact area from a(t') to a(t) occurs at time t' and is maintained until t; and (2) where the contact area follows its actual history. We have

$$F(t') = D_1(t') = \frac{l_0}{l_e} D_a(t') + S(t')$$
(1)

$$F(t') = D_2(t') = \frac{l_0}{l_e} D(t') + S(t')$$
⁽²⁾

where

$$S(t') = \frac{l}{l_e} \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' l_1(t' - t'') D(t'')$$

where $D_a(t')$ is the indentation after the decrease in contact area. On the basis of a physical argument, we have that $D(t') \ge D_a(t')$ so that $D_1(t') \le D_2(t')$. However from (4.12) in the paper, $D_1(t') = D_e(t') = D_e(t)$. The desired result follows. Equation (4.21) may be deduced in the same way.

The conclusions of the paper remain unaffected.

Received October 24, 1990.

^{*} Appeared in Quart. Appl. Math. 46, 527-538 (1988).