

ON THE SOLUTE DISTRIBUTION AT A MOVING PHASE BOUNDARY*

By K. A. HEIMES (*Iowa State University*)

1. Introduction. Consider an infinite rod of homogeneous binary alloy with a planar solid-liquid interface advancing at constant velocity R . Let $D_i, v^i(x, t)$ denote respectively the diffusion coefficient and solute concentration in the solid ($i = 1$) and liquid ($i = 2$) regions. For $t = 0$ we locate the interface at $x = 0$ and describe the initial solute compositions by $f_i(x)$ for $(-1)^i x \geq 0$. In order to obtain equilibrium at the interface, we require

$$V^1(Rt, t) = kV^2(Rt, t),$$

$$D_1V_z^1(Rt, t) - D_2V_z^2(Rt, t) = R[V^1(Rt, t) - V^2(Rt, t)],$$

where k is a constant equilibrium distribution coefficient. Assuming no convection in the liquid, the diffusion equations are $D_i V_{zz}^i = V_t^i$ in their respective regions. By putting $C^i(z, t) = V^i(z + Rt, t)$ we fix the interface at $z = 0$ and move the rod into the solid region ($z < 0$). Consequently, this one-dimensional liquid-solid transformation (solidification) can be described as

Problem S: For $i = 1, 2$ let D_i, k, R be positive constants and let $f_i(z)$ be continuous real functions defined for $(-1)^i z \geq 0$ with $f_1(0) = kf_2(0)$. Find functions $C^i(z, t)$ for $t \geq 0, (-1)^i z \geq 0$ satisfying

- (S₁) $D_i C_{zz}^i + RC_z^i = C_t^i;$
- (S₂) $C^i(z, 0) = f_i(z);$
- (S₃) $C^1(0, t) = kC^2(0, t), \quad -R(1 - k)C^2(0, t) = D_2 C_z^2(0, t) - D_1 C_z^1(0, t).$

The corresponding solid-liquid transformation (Problem M for melting) is the same as Problem S except that R is replaced by $-R$ in (S₁) and (S₃). Problem S has been solved for cases $D_1 = D_2$ and $D_1 = 0$ with particular initial conditions in [1] and [2].

In this paper we give sufficient conditions that both the above problems have unique solutions and explicit solutions are obtained by Laplace transforms methods. Both problems reduce to solving an integral-differential for the function $g(t) = C^1(0, t) = kC^2(0, t)$ which describes the time behavior of solutions at the interface.

2. The reduced problem. We first show that problems *M* and *S* are equivalent to

Problem A: Given positive constants K, λ and real functions $g_i(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ with $g_1(0) = g_2(0)$, find $u^i(x, y)$ for $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ so that

(A₁) $u_{zz}^i = u_y^i;$

* Received December 30, 1970; revised version received April 12, 1971. Work performed in part at Ames Laboratory, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Contribution No. 2911.

(A₂) $u^i(x, 0) = g_i(x)$;

(A₃) $e^{-\nu}u^2(0, y) = e^{-\lambda\nu}u^1(0, \lambda y), \quad (1 - K)e^{-\nu}u^2(0, y) = e^{-\nu}u_z^2(0, y) + Ke^{-\lambda\nu}u_z^1(0, \lambda y)$.

Functions $u^i(x, y)$ will be called solutions to problem A when (i) for $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ they are continuous, satisfy (A₂) and estimates of the form $|u(x, y)| < Me^{mz}$ on compact y intervals; (ii) for $x > 0, y > 0$ u_{xx}^i and u_y^i are continuous and satisfy (A₁); (iii) for $x \geq 0, y > 0$ u_x^i is continuous and (A₃) holds.

Straightforward calculations verify

LEMMA 1. Let u^1, u^2 solve problem A with $K = k, \lambda = D_2/D_1$ and $g_1(\lambda x) = e^{\lambda z}f_1(-z), g_2(x) = Ke^{-z}f_2(z)$ where $x = Rz/2D_2, y = R^2t/4D_2$. Then

$$C^1(z, t) = e^{\lambda(z-\nu)}u^1(-\lambda x, \lambda y) \tag{1}$$

$$C^2(z, t) = \frac{1}{K}e^{z-\nu}u^2(x, y)$$

solve problem M. Similarly, if u^1, u^2 solve problem A for $K = 1/k, \lambda = D_1/D_2$ and $g_1(\lambda x) = e^{\lambda z}f_2(-z), g_2(x) = Ke^{-z}f_1(z)$ where $x = -Rz/2D_1, y = R^2t/4D_1$, then

$$C^1(z, t) = \frac{1}{K}e^{z-\nu}u^2(x, y) \tag{2}$$

$$C^2(z, t) = e^{\lambda(z-\nu)}u^1(-\lambda x, \lambda y)$$

solve problem S.

LEMMA 2. For $p, q \in C[0, \infty)$, solutions to $u_{zz} = u_v$ for $x > 0, y > 0$ with $u(x, 0) = p(x), u(0, y) = q(y)$ are unique.

Proof: This is a standard application of the maximum principle for the heat equation. Details are similar to those given on p. 48 of [3].

Define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, y) &= (4\pi y)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/4y) \\ U^i(x, y) &= g_i(x), \quad y = 0, \\ &= \int_0^\infty [S(x-r, y) + S(x+r, y)]g_i(r) dr, \quad y > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then the U^i solve (A₁), (A₂) with $U_x^i(0, y) = 0$ and

$$U^i(0, y) = 2 \int_0^\infty S(r, y)g_i(r) dr = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2} g_i(2u\sqrt{y}) du \tag{4}$$

(see p. 53 of [3]).

Suppose that u^1, u^2 solve Problem A with $g_1, g_2, g \in C[0, \infty) \cap C'(0, \infty)$ where $g(y) = e^{-\nu}u^2(0, y) = e^{-\lambda\nu}u^1(0, \lambda y)$ is the interface function. It then follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} u^1(x, y) &= U^1(x, y) + \int_0^\nu \left(\operatorname{erfc} \frac{x}{2(y-r)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{d}{dr} [e^r g(\mu r) - U^1(0, r)] dr \\ u^2(x, y) &= U^2(x, y) + \int_0^\nu \left(\operatorname{erfc} \frac{x}{2(y-r)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{d}{dr} [e^r g(r) - U^2(0, r)] dr \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\mu = 1/\lambda$. That is, the right-hand sides in (5) solve (A_1) and agree with $u^i(0, y)$ and $u^i(x, 0)$. Substituting (5) in the last equation of condition (A_3) , we see that $g(y)$ solves

$$(1 - K)g(y) = e^{-y} \int_0^y [\pi(y - r)]^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dr} [U^2(0, r) - e^r g(r)] dr + Ke^{-\lambda y} \int_0^{\lambda y} [\pi(\lambda y - r)]^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dr} [U^1(0, r) - e^r g(\mu r)] dr. \tag{6}$$

These steps are reversible, giving

LEMMA 3. Let $W = C[0, \infty) \cap C'(0, \infty)$. For initial conditions $g_i \in W$, Problem A has solutions u^i with $u^i(0, y) \in W$ if and only if there exists a function $g \in W$ solving (6) with $g(0) = g_i(0)$.

For the trivial case $K = \lambda = 1$ we see that $g(y) = (e^{-y}/2)[U^1(0, y) + U^2(0, y)]$.

Denote the transform of a function by a superscript $*$. All the formulas used below may be found in [4].

From (4) we have $U^{i*}(0, s) = g_i^*(\sqrt{s})/\sqrt{s}$. Transforming (6) and solving for g^* , we obtain

$$g^*(s) = D^*(s) \{ K\mu g_1^*((\mu s + 1)^{1/2}) + g_2^*((s + 1)^{1/2}) \}, \tag{7}$$

where $D^*(s) = [((s + 1)^{1/2} + 1) + K((\mu s + 1)^{1/2} - 1)]^{-1}$ and $\mu = 1/\lambda$. Except for the easy case $K = \lambda = 1$ we can write

$$D^*(s) = \frac{((s + 1)^{1/2} + 1) - K((\mu s + 1)^{1/2} + 1)}{((s + 1)^{1/2} + 1)(A(s + 1)^{1/2} + B)}, \tag{8}$$

where $A = 1 - K^2\mu$ and $B = 1 - 2K + K^2\mu$. Given specific initial conditions g_1, g_2 , one would now simplify (7) using (8) prior to inversion. In general we get the convolution

$$g(y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y dt \int_0^\infty r \sqrt{t} e^{-rt} D(y - t) [e^{-t} g_2(2rt) + K\sqrt{\mu} e^{-\lambda t} g_1(2\sqrt{\lambda} rt)] dr, \tag{9}$$

where

$$D(y) = \frac{G(y)}{1 + K\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{(\sqrt{\mu} - 1)}{2(K\mu - 1)} [F(y) - G(y)] + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2(K\mu - 1)} \int_0^y [F(t) - G(t)] E(y - t) dt, \\ E(y) = [e^{-\lambda y} - e^{-y}]/(4\pi y^3)^{1/2}, \quad F(y) = (e^{-y}/(\pi y)^{1/2}) - \operatorname{erfc} \sqrt{y}, \tag{10} \\ G(y) = 0 \quad K^2 = \lambda \\ = (e^{-y}/(\pi y)^{1/2}) - Q \exp((Q^2 - 1)y) \operatorname{erfc} Q\sqrt{y} \quad K^2 \neq \lambda, Q = B/A.$$

When $K\mu = 1$ we have $Q = 1$ and $F = G$ so the correct formula for D is obtained by computing $\lim_{K\mu \rightarrow 1} [F(y) - G(y)]/(K\mu - 1)$.

From Eq. (9) it follows that if the initial conditions g_1, g_2 belong to the class of functions W defined in Lemma 3 and have Laplace transforms, the same is true for g . The function $g(y)$ in (9) then solves Eq. (6) and provides solutions, via (5), to Problem A. Uniqueness of such solutions is clear from Eq. (7). Thus we have

THEOREM: For initial conditions $g_i(x)$ in class W with $|g_i(x)| \leq M_i \exp(m_i x)$ for large x , Problem A has a unique solution with interface function satisfying the same conditions. The solution is given by (5) for $g(y)$ in (9).

The formulas in (5) and (9) are less than elegant. However, for any particular problem one can usually perform some additional simplifications, transform (5) back to problem M or S using (1) or (2) and study the solutions numerically. Approximations are also easily accessible. For example, one can choose a simple approximation for $g(y)$ in (9), select one of the initial conditions g_1 or g_2 and solve for the remaining function (g_2 or g_1) using (7). Then Eq. (5) gives solutions to problem A except that one of the initial conditions differs from the original. If the difference is small, an approximate solution is obtained.

A study of problem M for specific initial conditions using the above procedure is given in [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] W. A. Tiller and R. F. Sekerka, *Redistribution of solute during phase transformation*, J. Appl. Phys. **33**, 2726 (1964)
- [2] V. G. Smith, W. A. Tiller and J. W. Rutter, *A mathematical analysis of solute redistribution during solidification*, Canad. J. Phys. **33**, 723 (1955)
- [3] G. Hellwig, *Partial differential equations*, Blaisdell, 1964
- [4] G. E. Roberts and H. Kaufman, *Table of Laplace transforms*, W. B. Saunders, 1966
- [5] J. D. Verhoeven and K. A. Heimes, *The initial transient in melting and solidification experiments*. Int. J. Crystal Growth (to appear)