A CORRELATION RESULT FOR NONSTATIONARY INPUTS*

BY

J. L. BROWN, Jr.

(Ordnance Research Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University)

1. Introduction. In [1], K. S. Miller obtained an expression for the mean-square output of a linear time-invariant filter subjected to a nonstationary random input, y(t) = g(t)x(t), where x(t) is a wide-sense stationary random process and g(t) is a deterministic function of the particular form

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\omega_n t + \phi_n). \tag{1}$$

The purpose of this note is to generalize Miller's result in two directions; we shall consider arbitrary modulation functions g(t) and also obtain an expression for the general output autocorrelation function

$$\phi_z(t_1, t_2) = E[z(t_1)z(t_2)],$$

where z(t) is the output corresponding to the input y(t) = g(t)x(t). The mean-square output is then easily obtained by taking $t_1 = t_2 = t$. Since Miller's formula is immediate on further specialization of the modulation function, the general analysis affords as a by-product a much simpler proof of the result in [1]. In the concluding section, a reciprocity theorem is proved which shows that the mean-square output of a linear filter is invariant with respect to an interchange of the impulse response h(t) and the modulation function g(t).

In addition to the application suggested by Miller [1], we note that reverberation noise is commonly modeled [2] in the form g(t)x(t), where g(t) represents the time-varying decay characteristic of the reverberation and x(t) is a stationary random process. Thus, nonstationary noises of the more general type considered here are involved in the analysis of sonar detection systems operating in a reverberation-limited environment.

2. Analysis. Let y(t) = g(t)x(t) denote the input to a linear time-invariant system with impulse response h(t), so that the output z(t) is given by

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t - \xi)y(\xi) d\xi.$$
 (2)

We assume both h(t) and g(t) are real-valued with g(t) uniformly bounded on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and h(t) in the class $L_1 \cap L_2$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$; that is, h(t) is square integrable and corresponds to a stable filter so that it is also absolutely integrable. The real-valued random process x(t) is assumed wide-sense stationary with square integrable auto-correlation function $\phi_x(\tau) \equiv E[x(t)x(t+\tau)]$ and related power spectral density $S_x(\omega)$.

Using (2) and noting that $E[y(\xi)y(\eta)] = g(\xi)g(\eta)\phi_x(\xi - \eta)$,

$$\phi_{z}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \equiv E[z(t_{1})z(t_{2})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t_{1} - \xi)h(t_{2} - \eta)g(\xi)g(\eta)\phi_{z}(\xi - \eta) d\xi d\eta.$$
 (3)

^{*}Received August 27, 1965; revised manuscript received October 14, 1965.

We next define a function $B(\omega, t)$ as follows:

$$B(\omega, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t - \xi) g(\xi) e^{-i \xi \omega} d\xi.$$
 (4)

Then, by Parseval's theorem [3], the ξ -integration in (3) becomes

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t_1 - \xi) g(\xi) \phi_x(\xi - \eta) d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(\omega, t_1) S_x(\omega) e^{i\eta \omega} d\omega$$

and the remaining η -integration yields

$$\phi_z(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(\omega, t_1) B^*(\omega, t_2) S_z(\omega) d\omega, \tag{5}$$

where an asterisk superscript denotes complex conjugate. Equation (5) with $B(\omega, t)$ given by (4) is the main result of this section. For $t_1 = t_2 = t$, equations (4) and (5) specialize to

$$E[z^{2}(t)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(\omega, t)|^{2} S_{z}(\omega) d\omega, \qquad (6)$$

which is the desired extension of Miller's result to arbitrary bounded modulation functions g(t).

If, in addition, $g(t) \in L_2$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then by Parseval's theorem applied to (4), we have

$$B(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\infty} H(\eta)G(\eta + \omega)e^{i\eta t} d\eta, \qquad (7)$$

where $H(\omega)$ and $G(\omega)$ are the (L_2) Fourier transforms of h(t) and g(t) respectively. Equation (6) may then be written equivalently in terms of the spectra:

$$E[z^{2}(t)] = \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\eta)G(\eta - \omega)e^{i\eta t} d\eta \right|^{2} S_{z}(\omega) d\omega. \tag{8}$$

3. Miller's Theorem. To see that (6) contains the previous result [1] as a special case, we consider g(t) to have the particular form

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos (\omega_n t + \phi_n);$$

then from (4),

$$B(-\omega, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t - \xi) [e^{i(\omega_n \xi + \phi_n)} + e^{-i(\omega_n \xi + \phi_n)}] e^{i\omega \xi} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{i\omega t} [M(\omega) + M^*(-\omega)],$$
(!))

where we have followed Miller in defining

$$M(\omega) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n H(\omega + \omega_n) e^{i(\omega_n t + \phi_n)}.$$

Then, noting that $B(-\omega, t) = B^*(\omega, t)$, substitute (9) in (6) to obtain

$$E[z^{2}(t)] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M(\omega) + M^{*}(-\omega)|^{2} S_{x}(\omega) d\omega,$$

which is easily seen to be the equivalent of Miller's formula (equation (4) in [1]).

4. Reciprocity Theorem. In this section we require g(t) [as well as h(t)] to belong to $L_1 \cap L_2$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. An obvious change of variable coupled with the observation that $S_x(\omega)$ is an even function allows us to write (8) in the alternate form:

$$E[z^{2}(t)] = \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\eta)G(\eta + \omega)e^{i\eta t} d\eta \right|^{2} S_{x}(\omega) d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\xi - \omega)G(\xi)e^{i(\xi - \omega)t} d\xi \right|^{2} S_{x}(\omega) d\omega.$$
(10)

Rearranging the latter expression and changing the dummy variable back to η , we have

$$E[z^{2}(t)] = \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\eta)H(\eta - \omega)e^{i\eta t} d\eta \right|^{2} S_{z}(\omega) d\omega. \tag{11}$$

Comparison of (8) and (11) proves the following reciprocity result:

Let x(t) be a wide-sense stationary random process with square integrable power spectral density $S_x(\omega)$. If h(t) and g(t) are two given real-valued functions in $L_1 \cap L_2$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then the mean-square output of a time-invariant linear filter with impulse response h(t) and input y(t) = g(t)x(t) is exactly the same as the mean-square output of a linear system with impulse response g(t) and input y(t) = h(t)x(t).

Thus, the mean-square output of a linear system is invariant with respect to an interchange in roles of the impulse response h(t) and the modulation function g(t).

Lastly, we observe that the time dependent spectral density $W(t, \omega)$ defined by

$$W(t, \omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_z(t, t + \tau) e^{-i \omega \tau} d\tau$$

can be calculated from (5) to give the following result:

$$W(t,\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega t}H(\omega)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(\alpha, t)G(\omega - \alpha)S_{x}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$
 (12)

This formula generalizes equation (16) of Miller's paper [1] and can easily be shown to reduce to that result when $g(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\omega_n t + \phi_n)$. For the special case,

$$G(\omega) = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n [e^{i\phi_n} \delta(\omega - \omega_n) + e^{-i\phi_n} \delta(\omega + \omega_n)],$$

where $\delta(\omega)$ is the delta functional and $B(\alpha, t)$ in (12) is given by $(e^{-i\alpha t}/2)[M(-\alpha) + M^*(\alpha)]$ from equation (9).

REFERENCES

- 1. K. S. Miller, A note on input-output spectral densities, Q. Appl. Math. 21, 249 (1963)
- V. V. Ol'shevskii, Probability distribution of sea reverberation levels, Soviet Physics-Acoustics, 9, 378 (1964)
- 3. R. R. Goldberg, Fourier Transforms, Cambridge University Press, p. 48 (1961)