

—NOTES—

ON OSCILLATION NUMBERS OF SECOND ORDER LINEAR  
DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS\*

BY JOHN JONES, JR. (*The George Washington University*)

1. The purpose of this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-oscillatory solution  $x(t)$  of

$$x'' + F(t)x = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ , and  $F = F(t)$  is an  $m$  by  $m$  matrix which is a continuous function of  $t$ . Since  $m$  may be replaced by  $2m$ , there is no loss of generality in assuming that the  $m^2$  elements of  $F$  are real-valued. Thus, the  $m$  components of the vector  $x$  on which  $F$  operates will be confined to the real field.

Consider only those solutions  $x = x(t)$  of (1.1) which are different from  $x(t) \equiv 0$ . We use A. Wintner's [2] definition of the oscillation number  $F_\theta$  of (1.1) to be the least value having the property that no solution vector  $x(t) \not\equiv 0$  will become the zero vector at more than  $F_\theta$  points  $t$  of  $\theta$ , any  $t$ -interval of finite or infinite length and we will also require that each component solution  $x_i(t)$  be simultaneously positive or negative between consecutive zeros on  $\theta$ .

Let  $x \cdot y$  denote the scalar product  $\sum_{k=1}^m x_k y_k$  of the vectors  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ .

2. Non-oscillatory solutions of (1.1). We have the following results.

**THEOREM.** Let the following conditions be satisfied:

- (i)  $F(t)$  is a real symmetric positive definite  $m$  by  $m$  matrix for  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $F(t)$  is non-increasing, i.e., if  $t > s$ , then  $F(t) - F(s)$  is non-positive;
- (iii) The determinant of  $F(t)$  tends to zero as  $t$  tends to  $\infty$ ;

$$(iv) \int_0^\infty t \max_{i,i} [a(t)] dt < \infty, \quad F(t) = (a_{ij}), \quad a_{ij} \geq 0.$$

Then (1.1) is non oscillatory.

P. Hartman [1] has shown that (i), (ii), (iii) imply that (1.1) has a solution  $x(t)$  for which

$$F(t)x \cdot x + x' \cdot x' \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

This implies that for  $x(t)$  a solution of (1.1),  $x'(t)$  remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us assume that (1.1) has a solution  $x(t)$  for which (1.2) holds, and has an oscillation number  $F_\theta$  which is unbounded. Let

$$\{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_\lambda, t_{\lambda+1}, \dots\} \quad (1.3)$$

---

\*Received June 8, 1964.

be successive zeros of  $x(t)$  and let  $x'_i(t) > 0$ . Now  $x'_i(t)$  has a unique smallest zero  $t^*$  for  $t$  in  $t_\lambda < t < t_{\lambda+1}$ .

Integrating (1.1) component-wise over  $(t_\lambda, t^*)$  we get

$$x'_i(t^*) - x'(t_\lambda) + \int_{t_\lambda}^{t^*} \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) dt = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

or,

$$x'_i(t) = \int_{t_\lambda}^{t^*} \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(t)x_j(t) dt. \quad (1.5)$$

Now  $x_i(t_\lambda) = 0$ , for  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , and  $x'_i(t_\lambda)$  is either positive and decreasing, or negative and increasing in  $(t_\lambda, t^*)$ . Thus for  $t_\lambda \leq t \leq t^*$ , we have,

$$0 \leq |x_i(t)| \leq |x'_i(t_\lambda)| \cdot |t - t_\lambda|, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \quad (1.6)$$

Making use of (1.5), (1.6) we get,

$$(|x'_1(t_\lambda)| + \dots + |x'_m(t_\lambda)|) \leq (|x'_1(t_\lambda)| + \dots + |x'_m(t_\lambda)|) \int_{t_\lambda}^{t^*} tm \max_{i,j} [a_{ij}(t)] dt \quad (1.7)$$

Thus,

$$1 \leq \int_{t_\lambda}^{t^*} tm \max_{i,j} [a_{ij}(t)] dt. \quad (1.8)$$

This is impossible as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $|x'_i(t_\lambda)|$ , for  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and by (iv) we have,

$$\int_{t_\lambda}^{\infty} t \max_{i,j} [a_{ij}(t)] dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

So  $F_\theta < \infty$ , and (1.1) has a solution  $x(t)$  which is non-oscillatory.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Hartman, *The existence of large or small solutions of linear differential equations*, Duke Math. J. **38**(1961) 421
2. A. Wintner, *A comparison theorem for Sturmian oscillation numbers of linear systems of second order*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958) 515