PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 143, Number 12, December 2015, Pages 5265–5274
http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/12825
Article electronically published on July 15, 2015

COMMUTATOR ESTIMATES FOR HÖLDER CONTINUOUS AND BMO-SOBOLEV MULTIPLIERS

MICHAEL E. TAYLOR

(Communicated by Alexander Iosevich)

Abstract. We discuss conditions on a function f under which the commutator $[P, f]$ of a pseudodifferential operator P of order m with the operation of multiplication by f is an operator of order $m - r$ on various function spaces, namely Hölder-Zygmund spaces and L^p-Sobolev spaces, given $0 < r < 1$. We also establish an endpoint case involving $r = 1$, and we extend the scope to all $r > 0$ for a particularly significant case in 1 dimension.

1. Introduction

The fact that the commutator of a pseudodifferential operator P of order m with the operation of multiplication by a smooth function f is an operator of order $m-1$ is a central result, which has had important refinements. In particular, such a result holds for $f \in \mathrm{Lip}^1$, for a certain range of m; cf. [4], [6], [10], [1], [17]. Here we examine when a Hölder hypothesis on f, or some variant, implies $[P, f]$ has order $m - r$, for some $r \in (0, 1)$. Here is one sample result, when $m = 0,\ 0 < r < 1$:

$$\|[P, f]u\|_{C^r} \le C\|f\|_{C^r}(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|Pu\|_{L^\infty}). \tag{1.1}$$

Such a result is useful in the regularity theory of vortex patches; cf. [2], [5]. A proof when P is a classical singular integral operator of convolution type is given in [11], pp. 355–356. The estimate (1.1) is valid more generally for $P \in OPS^0_{1,\delta},\ \delta < 1$, and even more generally for $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1}$, as we will see below. We recall that $P = p(x, D)$ belongs to $OPS^m_{1,\delta}$ if and only if its symbol $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$|D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}. \tag{1.2}$$

We say $p(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$ provided $p(x, \xi) \in S^m_{1,1}$ and the partial Fourier transform $\hat{p}(\eta, \xi)$ has the property

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{p} \subset \{(\eta, \xi) : |\eta| \le \rho|\xi|\}, \tag{1.3}$$

for some $\rho < 1$. This class was introduced in [13]. We remark that $OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$ contains $OPS^m_{1,\delta}$ (modulo smoothing operators) for each $\delta < 1$.

Received by the editors July 8, 2014 and, in revised form, September 11, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20, 42B25, 42B35, 35S05, 35S50.
Key words and phrases. Commutator, pseudodifferential operator, paraproducts, bounded mean oscillation.
This work was supported by NSF grant DMS-1161620.

©2015 American Mathematical Society

The analogue of (1.1) for $[P, f]$ acting on L^p-Sobolev spaces $H^{r,p}$ requires a hypothesis on f slightly stronger than $f \in C^r$, namely $f \in \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}$, the bmo-Sobolev space,

$$\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty} = \Lambda^{-r}\,\mathrm{bmo}, \quad \Lambda = (1-\Delta)^{1/2}, \tag{1.4}$$

where bmo is the inhomogeneous variant of the John-Nirenberg space BMO (cf. [9]). See Appendix A for a precise definition of the spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}$, and some of their basic properties. Parallel to (1.1), we will show that

$$\|[P, f]u\|_{H^{r,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^p}, \tag{1.5}$$

given $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1},\ 0 < r < 1,\ 1 < p < \infty$. We will establish the following further estimates, complementing (1.1) and (1.5). See also Appendix A for a definition of the spaces C^s_* arising in (1.7), and a description of some of their basic properties.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$. Assume $0 < r < 1$ and*

$$-r < s < 0, \quad s < m < r + s. \tag{1.6}$$

Then

$$f \in C^r \Longrightarrow [P, f] : C^s_* \to C^{r+s-m}_*. \tag{1.7}$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$. Assume $0 < r < 1,\ 1 < p < \infty$, and*

$$-r < s \le 0, \quad s \le m \le r + s. \tag{1.8}$$

Then

$$f \in \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty} \Longrightarrow [P, f] : H^{s,p} \to H^{r+s-m,p}. \tag{1.9}$$

Note that Proposition 1.2 provides a strict extension of (1.5) (where $m = 0$), while (with $m = 0$) the $s = 0$ limit of Proposition 1.1, given in (1.1), takes $u, Pu \in L^\infty$ rather than in C^0_*.

In §2 we start the proofs of the results stated above, using paraproducts. Crucial paraproduct estimates are established in §3, to complete the proofs of these results. In §4 we supplement (1.9) with estimates on $\|[P, f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}$. We also get such an estimate for $f \in \mathrm{Lip}^1$, supplementing estimates of Calderon-Coifman-Meyer type. In §5 we extend the scope of such estimates to all $r > 0$ when P is a particularly important singular integral operator on the circle (essentially the Hilbert transform). We end with Appendix A, mentioned above, which provides some material on the spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}$ and C^s_*, appearing in Propositions 1.1 and 1.2.

Remark. The case $r = 0$ of (1.5) is also valid. This was established in [7] for a classical pseudodifferential operator of order zero, and in [1] for $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1}$. The case $m = r + s, s = 0$ of Proposition 1.2 was established in [14], for classical pseudodifferential operators of convolution type, in dimension 1.

2. Paraproduct decompositions and preliminary estimates

An essential ingredient in our analysis is the paraproduct operation of J.-M. Bony:

$$T_f u = \sum_{k\ge 0} f_k\, \psi_k(D)u, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\{\psi_k\}$ is a Littlewood-Paley partition of unity and $f_k = \sum_{j\le k-3}\psi_j(D)f$. Along the lines of arguments used in [15] and [1], we write

$$\begin{aligned} P(fu) &= PT_f u + PT_u f + PR(f,u),\\ f\,Pu &= T_f Pu + T_{Pu} f + R(f,Pu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Here

$$R(f,u) = \sum_{|j-k|\le 2} \psi_j(D)f\cdot\psi_k(D)u. \tag{2.3}$$

To begin, we have, for $0 < r < 1$, $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$, with ρ in (1.3) sufficiently small,

$$f \in C^r \Longrightarrow [P, T_f] \in OP\mathcal{B}S^{m-r}_{1,1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Such a result follows from the paradifferential operator calculus initiated in [3] and [13]; cf. also Proposition 7.3 in Chapter I of [16]. From (2.4) we have

$$[P,T_f] : C^s_* \longrightarrow C^{r+s-m}_*, \quad [P,T_f] : H^{s,p} \longrightarrow H^{r+s-m,p}, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $s, m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (1,\infty)$, given $f \in C^r$, $0 < r < 1$.

To proceed, we have the following information on the operator $R_f u = R(f,u)$:

$$f \in C^r_* \Longrightarrow R_f \in OPS^{-r}_{1,1}; \tag{2.6}$$

this holds for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$; cf. [15], (3.5.11). Hence, given $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}$,

$$\|PR(f,u)\|_{C^{r+s-m}_*} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*}\|u\|_{C^s_*}, \tag{2.7}$$

provided $r + s > 0$, and

$$\|R(f,Pu)\|_{C^{r+s-m}_*} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*}\|u\|_{C^s_*}, \tag{2.8}$$

provided $r + s > m$. Regarding Sobolev estimates, if $1 < p < \infty$, we have

$$\|PR(f,u)\|_{H^{r+s-m,p}} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*}\|u\|_{H^{s,p}}, \tag{2.9}$$

provided $r + s > 0$, and

$$\|R(f,Pu)\|_{H^{r+s-m,p}} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*}\|u\|_{H^{s,p}}, \tag{2.10}$$

provided $r + s > m$.

To complete the proofs of the results stated in §1, it remains to estimate $PT_u f$ and $T_{Pu} f$, and to supplement (2.10) by

$$\|R(f,Pu)\|_{L^p} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{H^{s,p}}, \quad m = r+s,\ r \ge 0. \tag{2.11}$$

We undertake these estimates in the next section.

3. Complementary paraproduct estimates

Here we complete the proof of the results stated in §1, via estimates on $T_v f$. One basic estimate comes from

$$v \in L^\infty \Longrightarrow T_v \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1}. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, given $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|PT_u f\|_{C^r} \le C\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{C^r}, \quad \|T_{Pu} f\|_{C^r} \le C\|Pu\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{C^r}; \tag{3.2}$$

cf. [15], (3.5.5). This, together with estimates of §2, is enough to establish (1.1).

Another basic estimate comes from

$$v \in C^{-s}_*, \ \ s > 0 \Longrightarrow T_v \in OP\mathcal{B}S^s_{1,1}; \tag{3.3}$$

cf. [15], (3.5.7). Thus, given $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1},\ 0 < r < 1$,

$$s < 0, \quad u \in C^s_*, \quad f \in C^r \Longrightarrow PT_u f \in C^{-m+s+r}_*, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$s - m < 0, \quad u \in C^s_*, \quad f \in C^r \Longrightarrow T_{Pu} f \in C^{-m+s+r}_*. \tag{3.5}$$

These results complete the proof of Proposition 1.1.

To obtain paraproduct estimates to establish (1.5) and Proposition 1.2, we start with the Coifman-Meyer estimate (cf. [6])

$$\|\tau(f,v)\|_{L^p} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\|v\|_{L^p}, \tag{3.6}$$

valid for $p \in (1,\infty)$, for a number of paraproduct operators, including

$$\tau(f,v) = T_v f, \quad \tau(f,v) = R(f,v). \tag{3.7}$$

The following consequence of (3.6) was demonstrated in Proposition 3.5.F of [15].

Lemma 3.1. *For $\tau(f,v)$ as in* (3.7)*, we have, for each $p \in (1,\infty),\ r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,*

$$\|\tau(f,v)\|_{H^{s,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|v\|_{H^{s-r,p}}, \quad 0 \le s \le r. \tag{3.8}$$

We produce further extensions of this result. To rephrase (3.8) in case $s = r = k$, if we set $\tau_v f = \tau(f,v)$, then, for $p \in (1,\infty)$,

$$v \in L^p \Longrightarrow \tau_v : \mathfrak{h}^{k,\infty} \to H^{k,p}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{3.9}$$

Interpolation (cf. [20], Proposition 5.3) gives

$$v \in L^p \Longrightarrow \tau_v : \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty} \to H^{r,p}, \quad r \in [0,\infty). \tag{3.10}$$

In case $\tau_v f = T_v f$, we deduce that for $P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1},\ r > 0,\ p \in (1,\infty)$,

$$\|PT_u f\|_{H^{r,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^p}, \quad \|T_{Pu} f\|_{H^{r,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.11}$$

This, together with estimates of §2, establishes (1.5).

To complete the proof of Proposition 1.2, we will establish the following extension of Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *Given τ as in Lemma* 3.1 *and $p \in (1,\infty)$, the conclusion of Lemma* 3.1 *holds for all $r \in [0,\infty)$.*

Proof. We find it convenient to change notation slightly, and show that

$$s, \sigma \ge 0,\ f \in \mathfrak{h}^{s+\sigma,\infty},\ v \in H^{-\sigma,p} \Longrightarrow \tau(f,v) \in H^{s,p}. \tag{3.12}$$

For $\sigma = 0$, this follows from (3.10). We next claim it holds for each $s \in [0,\infty)$ and $\sigma = k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The proof is inductive. If (3.12) is valid for $\sigma = k \le \ell$ and if $v \in H^{-\ell-1,p}$, with $v = \partial_j v_j,\ v_j \in H^{-\ell,p}$, while $f \in \mathfrak{h}^{s+\ell+1,\infty}$, use

$$\tau(f,v) = \partial_j \tau(f,v_j) - \tau(\partial_j f, v_j) \tag{3.13}$$

to get (3.12) for $\sigma = \ell + 1$.

To finish the proof of Proposition 3.2, let us rephrase the result (3.12) as

$$\|\tau(\Lambda^{-\sigma} g, \Lambda^\sigma u)\|_{H^{s,p}} \le C\|g\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{s,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^p}, \quad s, \sigma \ge 0. \tag{3.14}$$

So far we have this for $\sigma = k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let us set

$$\Phi(z) = \tau(\Lambda^{-z} g, \Lambda^z u), \quad \mathrm{Re}\, z \ge 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Then, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Phi(k + iy) = \tau(\Lambda^{-k-iy} g, \Lambda^{k+iy} u) \in H^{s,p}, \tag{3.16}$$

with mild bounds as $|y| \to \infty$. Hence a maximum principle argument for vector-valued holomorphic functions yields (3.14) and completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Applying (3.8) with $\tau(f,v) = T_v f$ to $PT_u f$ and $T_{Pu} f$, we have the following. Assume $P \in OP\mathcal{BS}^m_{1,1}$. Change notation in (3.8), replacing s by $-s$. Then we have

$$r > 0,\ s \le 0,\ s + r \ge 0 \Longrightarrow \|PT_u f\|_{H^{s+r-m,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \|u\|_{H^{s,p}}, \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$r > 0,\ s \le m,\ s + r \ge m \Longrightarrow \|T_{Pu} f\|_{H^{s+r-m,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \|u\|_{H^{s,p}}. \tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, using $\tau(f,v) = R(f,v)$ and taking $s = 0$, we have

$$\|R(f,v)\|_{L^p} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \|v\|_{H^{-r,p}}, \tag{3.19}$$

whenever $r \ge 0,\ p \in (1,\infty)$, which implies (2.11). This completes the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Remark. The $s = r$ case of (3.8) also gives an endpoint case of (3.11) in [17].

4. bmo-Sobolev space estimates

Here we estimate $[P,f]u$ in the $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}$-norm, providing a $p = \infty$ endpoint case of (1.9). For simplicity we take the order m of P to be zero. We establish the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $P \in OP\mathcal{BS}^0_{1,1}$. Then*

$$\|[P,f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C_r \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \big(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|Pu\|_{L^\infty}\big), \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|[P,f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{1,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}^1} \big(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|Pu\|_{L^\infty}\big). \tag{4.2}$$

The estimate (4.2) is an endpoint case of an estimate of Calderon-Coifman-Meyer type:

$$\|[P,f]u\|_{H^{1,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}^1} \|u\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

To perform these estimates, we again use (2.2). We also make use of the fact that

$$P \in OPS^m_{1,1},\ s - m > 0 \Longrightarrow P : \mathfrak{h}^{s,\infty} \to \mathfrak{h}^{s-m,\infty}, \tag{4.4}$$

which is the endpoint case of the well-known behavior on L^p-Sobolev spaces. This result is the case $p = \infty,\ q = 2$ of Theorem I of [21]. In light of this, (2.4) yields

$$\|[P,T_f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{C^r} \|u\|_{\mathrm{bmo}}, \quad 0 < r < 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore, complementary to (2.4), we have

$$f \in \mathrm{Lip}^1 \Longrightarrow [T_f, P] \in OP\mathcal{BS}^{-1}_{1,1}; \tag{4.6}$$

cf. [1], Proposition 4.2, or [16], Proposition 7.4 of Chapter I. Hence

$$\|[P,T_f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{1,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}^1} \|u\|_{\mathrm{bmo}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Also we can use (2.6) to obtain

$$f \in C^r_* \Longrightarrow R_f : \mathrm{bmo} \to \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}, \quad r > 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence

$$\|PR(f,u)\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}},\ \|R(f,Pu)\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*} \|u\|_{\mathrm{bmo}}, \quad r > 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Finally an application of (3.1) gives

$$\|PT_u f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^\infty}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\|T_{Pu} f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|Pu\|_{L^\infty}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.11}$$

These estimates together establish (4.1)–(4.2).

5. Special estimates in one dimension

Estimates given in Propositions 1.1–1.2 and in Proposition 4.1 hold for a wider range of r when P is a particularly important singular integral operator on the circle S^1, namely

$$P_+ \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\nu e^{i\nu\theta} = \sum_{\nu\ge 0} a_\nu e^{i\nu\theta}. \tag{5.1}$$

What is special about this operator is that its symbol is constant on each connected component of $T^*S^1 \setminus 0$. Thus, standard symbol asymptotics give, for any $\delta < 1$,

$$A \in OPS^m_{1,\delta}(S^1) \Longrightarrow [A, P_+] \in OPS^{-\infty}. \tag{5.2}$$

The following related result was established in (15.14), Chapter I, of [16]:

$$f \in L^\infty(S^1) \Longrightarrow [T_f, P_+] \in OPS^{-\infty}. \tag{5.3}$$

For use in Proposition 5.2, we mention that this argument in [16] extends, and we can take $f \in \mathcal{D}'(S^1)$ in (5.3). Using (5.3), we will prove the following.

Proposition 5.1. *With P_+ given by* (5.1)*, the following commutator estimates hold:*

$$\|[P_+, f]u\|_{C^r_*} \le C\|f\|_{C^r_*}\big(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_+u\|_{L^\infty}\big), \quad r > 0, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\|[P_+, f]u\|_{H^{r,p}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\|u\|_{L^p}, \quad r > 0,\ 1 < p < \infty, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\|[P_+, f]u\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}} \le C\|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}}\big(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_+u\|_{L^\infty}\big), \quad r > 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Going back to (2.2), we see that adequate estimates on $P_+R(f,u)$ and $R(f,P_+u)$ already follow from (2.7)–(2.10) and (4.8), while adequate estimates on P_+T_uf and $T_{P_+u}f$ follow from (3.2), (3.11), and (4.10)–(4.11). This just leaves estimates on $[T_f, P_+]$, which follow immediately from (5.3). □

Proposition 5.1 has an application to loop group factorization, given in [18]. The following commutator estimate also has an application there.

Proposition 5.2. *For $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$\|[P_+, f]u\|_{H^{r,p}} \le C\|f\|_{H^{r,p}}\big(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|P_+u\|_{L^\infty}\big), \quad r > 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. This follows from estimates of P_+T_uf and $T_{P_+u}f$ in $H^{r,p}$, estimates of $P_+R(f,u)$ and $R(f,P_+u)$ in $H^{r,p}$, and of $[T_f, P_+]u$ (using (5.3), strengthened to allow $f \in \mathcal{D}'(S^1)$), in a similar fashion to the arguments given above. We merely replace information on R_f in (2.6) by the implication $u \in L^\infty \Rightarrow R_u \in OPS^0_{1,1}$. □

We mention a version of Proposition 5.1 that holds when S^1 is replaced by $\mathbb{R}$. Namely, take

$$q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad q(\xi) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ \xi \le -1, \ \ q(\xi) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ \xi \ge 1, \tag{5.8}$$

and set

$$Q_+ = q(D), \quad Q_+ \in OPS^0(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.9}$$

Parallel to (5.2), we have, for $\delta < 1$,

$$A \in OPS^m_{1,\delta}(\mathbb{R}) \Longrightarrow [A, Q_+] \in OPS^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.10}$$

Also, parallel to (5.3),

$$f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \Longrightarrow [T_f, Q_+] \in OPS^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.11}$$

In fact, the proof of (15.14) in Chapter 1 of [16] just relies on Proposition 6.1 in Chapter 1 of [16], which works in the setting of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently, analogues of the estimates (5.4)–(5.6) hold for $[Q_+, f]u$, with f and u defined on $\mathbb{R}$.

Appendix A. The spaces C^r_* and $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}$

The spaces $C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n)$, sometimes called Zygmund spaces, extend to all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the family of spaces $C^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defined for $r \in (0,\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^+$ as follows. If $0 < r < 1$, $C^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of Hölder continuous functions with exponent r. If $r = k + s$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < s < 1$, then $u \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\partial^\alpha u \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $|\alpha| \le k$. The spaces $C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are conveniently defined using a Littlewood-Paley partition of unity, $\{\psi_k : k \ge 0\}$. Take $\psi_0 \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi_0(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \le 1$, 0 for $|\xi| \ge 2$, set $\varphi_k(\xi) = \psi_0(2^{-k}\xi)$, and set $\psi_k(\xi) = \varphi_k(\xi) - \varphi_{k-1}(\xi)$ for $k \ge 1$. Then, given a tempered distribution u on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$u \in C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{k\ge 0} \|\psi_k(D)u\|_{L^\infty} < \infty. \tag{A.1}$$

One has (cf. [15], pp. 183–184) that $C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $r \in (0,\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^+$. One also has

$$P \in OPS^m_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow P : C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^{r-m}_*(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{if } \ r - m > 0, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$O \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow P : C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^{r-m}_*(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall\, m, r \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.3}$$

In particular, if $0 \le \delta < 1$,

$$P \in OPS^m_{1,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow P : C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^{r-m}_*(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall\, m, r \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.4}$$

It follows that, for $\Lambda = (1 - \Delta)^{1/2}$, i.e., $\widehat{\Lambda u}(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}\hat{u}(\xi)$,

$$\Lambda^m : C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\approx} C^{r-m}_*(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall\, r, m \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.5}$$

See [15], Chapter 2, for more operator results. The characterization (A.1) also presents $C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a Besov space:

$$C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n) = B^r_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall\, r \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.6}$$

For more on this perspective, see [22], pp. 89–91.

We turn to the spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defined in terms of $\mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To start, we recall the John-Nirenberg space

$$\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u^\# \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$u^{\#}(x) = \sup_{B\in\mathcal{B}(x)} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u(y) - u_B|\, dy, \tag{A.8}$$

with $\mathcal{B}(x) = \{B_r(x) : 0 < r < \infty\}$, $B_r(x)$ being the ball centered at x of radius r, and u_B the mean value of u on B. There are variants, giving the same space. For example, one could use cubes containing x instead of balls centered at x, and one could replace u_B in (A.8) by c_B, chosen to minimize the integral. We set $\|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} = \|u^{\#}\|_{L^\infty}$. This is not a norm, since $\|c\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} = 0$ if c is a constant; it is a seminorm. The space $\mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, introduced in [9], is defined by

$$\mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : {}^{\#}u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \tag{A.9}$$

where

$${}^{\#}u(x) = \sup_{B\in\mathcal{B}_1(x)} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u(y) - u_B|\, dy + \frac{1}{V(B_1(x))} \int_{B_1(x)} |u(y)|\, dy, \tag{A.10}$$

with $\mathcal{B}_1(x) = \{B_r(x) : 0 < r \le 1\}$. We set $\|u\|_{\mathrm{bmo}} = \|{}^{\#}u\|_{L^\infty}$. This is a norm, and it has good localization properties. For example,

$$f \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^n),\ u \in \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ r > 0 \Longrightarrow fu \in \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{A.11}$$

Also (cf. [16], p. 30),

$$P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^0_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow P : \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{A.12}$$

so in particular, if $0 \le \delta < 1$,

$$P \in OPS^0_{1,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow P : \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{A.13}$$

Now, given $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\Lambda^{-r}u : u \in \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \tag{A.14}$$

with Λ as in (A.5). Thus $\mathfrak{h}^{0,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathrm{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows from (A.12)–(A.13) and pseudodifferential operator calculus that, given $r, m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \delta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P \in OP\mathcal{B}S^m_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\Longrightarrow P : \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathfrak{h}^{r-m,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ P \in OPS^m_{1,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\Longrightarrow P : \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathfrak{h}^{r-m,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

We briefly indicate how to define these spaces on a compact Riemannian manifold M. The spaces $C^r_*(M)$ can be defined via a partition of unity and local coordinate charts, leading to elements of $C^r_*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In case $r \in (0,\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^+$, one clearly has $C^r_*(M) = C^r(M)$, classically defined. Also, one can deduce from (A.4) that

$$P \in OPS^m_{1,0}(M) \Longrightarrow P : C^r_*(M) \to C^{r-m}_*(M), \quad \forall\, r, m \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.16}$$

The spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(M)$ can also be defined via a partition of unity and local coordinate charts. We refer to [19] for details, worked out there for the more general class of complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry. Parallel to (A.16), we have

$$P \in OPS^m_{1,0}(M) \Longrightarrow P : \mathfrak{h}^{r,\infty}(M) \to \mathfrak{h}^{r-m,\infty}(M), \quad \forall\, r, m \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.17}$$

References

[1] Pascal Auscher and Michael E. Taylor, *Paradifferential operators and commutator estimates*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), no. 9-10, 1743–1775, DOI 10.1080/03605309508821150. MR1349230 (96j:47047)

[2] A. L. Bertozzi and P. Constantin, *Global regularity for vortex patches*, Comm. Math. Phys. **152** (1993), no. 1, 19–28. MR1207667 (94b:35221)

[3] Jean-Michel Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires* (French), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **14** (1981), no. 2, 209–246. MR631751 (84h:35177)

[4] A.-P. Calderón, *Commutators of singular integral operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **53** (1965), 1092–1099. MR0177312 (31 #1575)

[5] Jean-Yves Chemin, *Persistance de structures géométriques dans les fluides incompressibles bidimensionnels* (French, with English and French summaries), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 517–542. MR1235440 (94j:35141)

[6] Ronald R. Coifman and Yves Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels* (French), Astérisque, vol. 57, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978. With an English summary. MR518170 (81b:47061)

[7] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and Guido Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635. MR0412721 (54 #843)

[8] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), no. 3-4, 137–193. MR0447953 (56 #6263)

[9] David Goldberg, *A local version of real Hardy spaces*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), no. 1, 27–42. MR523600 (80h:46052)

[10] Tosio Kato and Gustavo Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 7, 891–907, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160410704. MR951744 (90f:35162)

[11] Andrew J. Majda and Andrea L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. MR1867882 (2003a:76002)

[12] Jürgen Marschall, *Pseudodifferential operators with coefficients in Sobolev spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), no. 1, 335–361, DOI 10.2307/2000766. MR936820 (89k:35262)

[13] Yves Meyer, *Remarques sur un théorème de J.-M. Bony* (French), Proceedings of the Seminar on Harmonic Analysis (Pisa, 1980), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **suppl. 1** (1981), 1–20. MR639462 (83b:35169)

[14] Margaret A. M. Murray, *Commutators with fractional differentiation and BMO Sobolev spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), no. 1, 205–215, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1985.34.34012. MR773402 (86c:47042)

[15] Michael E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 100, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991. MR1121019 (92j:35193)

[16] Michael E. Taylor, *Tools for PDE*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 81, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Pseudodifferential operators, paradifferential operators, and layer potentials. MR1766415 (2001g:35004)

[17] Michael Taylor, *Commutator estimates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 5, 1501–1507 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06723-0. MR1949880 (2003k:35261)

[18] Michael Taylor, *Regularity of loop group factorization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 2, 627–631 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07667-1. MR2093088 (2005f:47052)

[19] Michael Taylor, *Hardy spaces and BMO on manifolds with bounded geometry*, J. Geom. Anal. **19** (2009), no. 1, 137–190, DOI 10.1007/s12220-008-9054-7. MR2465300 (2009k:58053)

[20] M. Taylor, Variations on complex interpolation, Preprint, available at http://www.unc.edu/math/Faculty/met/cpxint.pdf.

[21] Rodolfo H. Torres, *Continuity properties of pseudodifferential operators of type* $1, 1$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **15** (1990), no. 9, 1313–1328, DOI 10.1080/03605309908820726. MR1077277 (91m:47079)

[22] Hans Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983. MR781540 (86j:46026)

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599