

## REALIZATION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF HANDLEBODY BY DIFFEOMORPHISMS

SUSUMU HIROSE

(Communicated by Daniel Ruberman)

ABSTRACT. For the oriented 3-dimensional handlebody constructed from a 3-ball by attaching  $g$  1-handles, it is shown that the natural surjection from the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of it to the mapping class group of it has no section when  $g$  is at least 6.

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact oriented manifold and  $S$  be a subset of  $\partial M$ . We denote the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $M$  whose restrictions on  $S$  are the identity by  $\text{Diff}(M, S)$ , the subgroups of them consisting of elements that are isotopic to the identity by  $\text{Diff}_0(M, S)$ , and the quotient group  $\text{Diff}(M, S)/\text{Diff}_0(M, S)$  by  $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$ . For an element  $f$  of  $\text{Diff}(M, S)$ , let  $[f]$  be the element of  $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$  represented by  $f$ . The homomorphism  $\pi_{M,S}$  from  $\text{Diff}(M, S)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$  defined by  $\pi_{M,S}(f) = [f]$  is a surjection. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$ . We call a homomorphism  $s$  from  $\Gamma$  to  $\text{Diff}(M, S)$  which satisfies  $\pi_{M,S} \circ s = id_\Gamma$  a *section* for  $\pi_{M,S}$  over  $\Gamma$ . Morita [7] showed that the natural surjection from  $\text{Diff}^2(\Sigma_g)$  to the mapping class group  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  of  $\Sigma_g$  has no section over  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  when  $g \geq 5$ . Markovic [5] (when  $g \geq 6$ ) and Markovic and Saric [6] (when  $g \geq 2$ ) showed that the natural surjection from  $\text{Homeo}(\Sigma_g)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  has no section over  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ . By using the different method from them, Franks and Handel [2] showed that the natural surjection from  $\text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  has no section over  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  when  $g \geq 3$ .

Let  $H_g$  be an oriented 3-dimensional handlebody of genus  $g$  which is an oriented 3-manifold constructed from a 3-ball by attaching  $g$  1-handles. Let  $\Sigma_g$  be an oriented closed surface of genus  $g$ ; then  $\partial H_g = \Sigma_g$ . The restriction to the boundary defines a homomorphism  $\rho_\partial : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$ , and  $\rho_\partial$  induces an injection  $\mathcal{M}(H_g) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  since  $H_g$  is an irreducible 3-manifold. We will show:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $g \geq 6$ , there is no section for  $\pi_{H_g} : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$  over  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ .*

For contradiction, we assume that there is a section  $s : \mathcal{M}(H_g) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(H_g)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ , and  $i_\Gamma$  be the inclusion from  $\Gamma$  to  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a

---

Received by the editors September 10, 2009 and, in revised form, February 5, 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M60, 57N10.

This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 20540083), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

©2010 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ , and the composition  $\rho_{\partial} \circ s \circ i_{\Gamma}$  is a section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g} : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  over  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, if we can find a subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ , over which there is no section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g}$ , then Theorem 1 follows.

Let  $D$  be a 2-disk in  $\Sigma_g$ , and  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be  $\Sigma_g \setminus \text{int } D$ . Let  $c$  be an essential simple closed curve on  $\Sigma_g$  such that  $\Sigma_g \setminus c$  is not connected. Then the closure of one component of  $\Sigma_g \setminus c$  is diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma_{g_1,1}$ , and the closure of the other component of  $\Sigma_g \setminus c$  is diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma_{g_2,1}$ . We remark that  $g = g_1 + g_2$  and  $g_1, g_2 \geq 1$ . These diffeomorphisms induce injections  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  (see [8]). By these injections, we consider  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1})$  as subgroups of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ . From Theorem 1.6 in [2] proved by Franks and Handel, we see:

**Theorem 2** ([2]). *Let  $\Gamma_1$  be a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1})$  such that  $H^1(\Gamma_1, \mathbb{R}) = 0$  and  $\mu$  be an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1})$  which is represented by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on  $\text{int } \Sigma_{g_2,1}$ . Then there is no section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g} : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  over  $\langle \Gamma_1, \mu \rangle$ , where  $\langle \Gamma_1, \mu \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  generated by elements of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\mu$ .*

We assume  $g \geq 6$ . The 3-manifold  $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$  is diffeomorphic to  $H_4$ . Let  $D_1$  be a 2-disk in  $\text{int}(\partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]) \subset \partial(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  be disjoint 2-disks on  $\partial H_{g-6}$ , and  $D_4$  be a 2-disk on  $\partial H_2$ . Along these 2-disks, we glue  $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$ ,  $H_{g-6}$  and  $H_2$  such that  $D_1 = D_2$ ,  $D_3 = D_4$ . Then the 3-manifold obtained as a result is diffeomorphic to  $H_g$ . By the above construction, we get two natural inclusions  $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow H_g$  and  $H_2 \hookrightarrow H_g$ . These inclusions induce natural homomorphisms  $i_1 : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$  and  $i_2 : \mathcal{M}(H_2, D_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$ . If  $[h]$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}(H_2, D_4)$ ) represented by  $h \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$  (resp.  $\text{Diff}(H_2, D_4)$ ), then  $i_1([h])$  (resp.  $i_2([h])$ ) is represented by the diffeomorphism obtained by extending  $h$  to  $H_g$  using the identity mapping on  $H_g \setminus \Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$  (resp.  $H_g \setminus H_2$ ).

We define homomorphisms  $\Pi : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$  by  $\Pi(h) = h \times \text{id}_{[0,1]}$ , and  $I_1 : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(H_g)$  by the identity on  $H_g \setminus \Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$ . Then the composition  $I_1 \circ \Pi$  induces a homomorphism  $P : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$ . By applying Corollary 4.2 of [8] to the subsurface  $\Sigma_{2,1} \times \{0, 1\} \subset \partial H_g$ , the injectivity of  $P$  is shown. Korkmaz [4] showed that  $H_1(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ ; hence  $H^1(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}), \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma_1 = P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}))$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2 when  $g_1 = g - 2$ ,  $g_2 = 2$ .

Fathi and Laudenbach [3] constructed a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism  $\phi$  on  $\partial(H_2)$  which is a restriction of a homeomorphism on  $H_2$ . The definitions of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms and terminologies (e.g., singular foliation) related to them can be found in [1]. Any pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism preserves the set of singular points of the singular foliation which is preserved by this homeomorphism. Since the number of singular points of the singular foliation is finite, a proper power of  $\phi$ , say  $\phi^n$ , fixes some points. Let  $p$  be a point fixed by  $\phi^n$ . Then  $\phi^n$  defines a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on  $\partial(H_2) \setminus p = \text{int } \Sigma_{2,1}$ . Let  $\mu$  be an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  represented by this homomorphism. Then  $\mu$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$  and satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2 when  $g_1 = g - 2$ ,  $g_2 = 2$ .

Then  $\langle P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1})), \mu \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$  and, by Theorem 2, there is no section over  $\langle P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1})), \mu \rangle$ . Therefore, there is no section for  $\pi_{H_g} : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$  over  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ .

*Remark 3.* Two subgroups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  are *conjugate* if there is an element  $h \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  such that  $hG_1h^{-1} = G_2$ . When two subgroups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$  are conjugate, there is a section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g}$  over  $G_1$  if and only if there is a section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g}$  over  $G_2$ . In the above proof of Theorem 1, it is shown that there is no section for  $\pi_{\Sigma_g}$  over  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$  under any identification of  $\partial H_g$  with  $\Sigma_g$ , since, under the different identifications of  $\partial H_g$  with  $\Sigma_g$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$  is regarded as a conjugate subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. Casson, S. A. Bleiler, *Automorphisms of surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 9. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. MR964685 (89k:57025)
- [2] J. Franks, M. Handel, *Global fixed points for centralizers and Morita's Theorem*, Geometry and Topology 13 (2009), 87–98. MR2469514 (2009j:37060)
- [3] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, *Difféomorphismes pseudo-Anosov et décomposition de Heegaard*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 291, Série A, 1980, 423B5. MR596088 (81k:57031)
- [4] M. Korkmaz, *Low-dimensional homology groups of mapping class groups: A survey*, Turkish J. Math. 26 (2002), 101–114. MR1892804 (2003f:57002)
- [5] V. Markovic, *Realization of the mapping class group by homeomorphisms*, Invent. Math. 168 (2007), 523–566. MR2299561 (2008c:57033)
- [6] V. Markovic, D. Saric, *The mapping class group cannot be realized by homeomorphisms*, preprint (arXiv:0807.0182)
- [7] S. Morita, *Characteristic classes of surface bundles*, Invent. Math. 90 (1987), 551–577. MR914849 (89e:57022)
- [8] L. Paris, D. Rolfsen, *Geometric subgroups of mapping class groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 521 (2000), 47–83. MR1752295 (2001b:57035)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, SAGA UNIVERSITY, SAGA, 840–8502 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* hirose@ms.saga-u.ac.jp