$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x) \geqq g(x), \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
a \leqq x \leqq b
$$

Since (43) contradicts (42), the assumption that (40) does not hold has led to a contradiction.
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## NOTE ON A CERTAIN CONTINUED FRACTION

## H. S. WALL

The continued fraction

is a limiting case of the continued fraction of Gauss, and is the formal expansion of the quotient $\Omega(a, b ; z) / \Omega(a, b-1 ; z)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(a, b ; z)=1-a b \frac{z}{1!}+a(a+1) b(b+1) \frac{z^{2}}{2!}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a$ and $b$ are real and positive, then it follows from the work of Stieltjes that (1) converges in the domain $Z$ exterior to the negative
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half of the real axis, and its value is an analytic function of $z$ in this domain. It is easy to show that the same holds for $a$ and $b$ any real numbers, except that the function may have poles in the domain $Z$. By means of technique which has been developed in recent years, this result may be extended to arbitrary complex $a$ and $b$. We shall first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $A$ and $B$ denote two arbitrary bounded regions of the complex plane. Then, there exists a number $\delta>0$, depending upon $A$ and $B$, such that the continued fraction (1) converges uniformly for $a$ in $A, b$ in $B$ and $z$ in the real interval ( $0, \delta$ ).

Proof. We may evidently choose $\delta>0$ sufficiently small in order that the numbers $(a+p) z,(b+p) z, p=0,1,2, \cdots$, will be in the parabolic region $|w|-R(w) \leqq 1 / 2$ for all $z$ in the interval ( $0, \delta$ ). The convergence then follows from the parabola theorem [3, p. 166]. ${ }^{1}$ The uniformity of the convergence follows from the fact that the approximants are uniformly bounded: their values are all in the circle with center 1 and radius 1 [4, p. 581].

Theorem 2. Let $a$ and $b$ be arbitrary complex constants not 0 or a negative integer. ${ }^{2}$ Let $G$ be any bounded closed region within the domain $Z$ defined above. The continued fraction (1) converges over $G$ except possibly at certain isolated points, and uniformly over the region obtained from $G$ by removing the interiors of small circles with centers at these points. The value of the continued fraction is an analytic function having these points as poles.

Proof. Let $A$ and $B$ of Theorem 1 be the single points $a$ and $b$, and choose the number $\delta>0$ accordingly. We may suppose that $G$ is a connected region containing the interval $(\delta / 2, \delta)$ on the interior. Let $h>0$ be chosen sufficiently small in order that $G$ will be contained within the cardioid region

$$
|w| \leqq \frac{1}{2 h^{2}}(1+\cos \theta), \quad w=|w| e^{i \theta} .
$$

Next choose $N$ so that for $n>N$ the numbers $(a+n),(b+n)$ will be in the parabolic region

$$
|w|-R(w) \leqq h^{2} / 2 .
$$

If $n>N$, the continued fraction

[^0]
is then uniformly convergent over $G$ by the cardioid theorem $[1, \mathrm{pp}$. 367-368]. It follows that (1) converges over $G$ except possibly at certain isolated points, or else diverges to the constant $\infty$. Inasmuch as it converges for $z$ in the real interval $(\delta / 2, \delta)$, the latter alternative is ruled out. The convergence is evidently uniform over the region obtained from G by deleting small circular neighborhoods of the aforementioned isolated points.

In order to express the analytic function represented by the continued fraction in terms of integrals, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega(a, b ; z) \\
&=1+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{p} a(a+1) \cdots(a+p-1) b(b+1) \cdots(b+p-1) \frac{z^{p}}{p!} \\
&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{-b, p} \Gamma(a+p) z^{p} \\
&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{-b, p} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{a+p-1} d u z^{p} \\
&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} u^{a-1} d u}{(1+z u)^{b}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This formal procedure suggests the possibility that (1) has the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} u^{a-1} d u}{(1+z u)^{b}} / \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} u^{a-1} d u}{(1+z u)^{b-1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever these integrals converge, that is, for $z$ in $Z$ and $R(a)>0$. Now, this is known to be true for $a, b$ and $z$ real and positive [2, p. 492], and the extension to complex $z$ in $Z$ is immediate inasmuch as (1) and (3) are both analytic functions of $z$ over $Z$. Regarding $a$ and $b$ as variables, and using Theorem 1, the same conclusion can be extended immediately to complex values of $a$ and $b$.

In the special case $b=1$ :
(4)

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} u^{a-1} d u}{1+z u}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{a z}{1+\frac{1 \cdot z}{1+\frac{(a+1) z}{1+\frac{2 \cdot z}{1+\cdot}}}}},
$$

for $R(a)>0$ and $z$ in $Z$. The left-hand member can be transformed into an integral which converges for all $a$, and we get
(5)

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} d u}{(z+u)^{a}}=\frac{z^{1-a}}{z+\frac{a}{1+\frac{1}{z+\frac{(a+1)}{2}}}},
$$

valid for all $a$ and for $z$ in $Z$. Let $z=x$, real and positive, make the change of variable $v=u+x$ in the integral, and then replace $a$ by $1-a$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-v} v^{a-1} d v=\frac{e^{-x} x^{a}}{x+\frac{1-a}{1+\frac{1}{x+\frac{2-a}{1+\frac{2}{x+\frac{3-a}{1+\frac{3}{x+\cdots}}}}}}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for all $a$ and for $x>0$. The special cases $a=0$ and $a=1 / 2$ furnish
expansions for the integrals

$$
\int_{0}^{\sigma^{-x}} \frac{d u}{\log u} \text { and } \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}} d u
$$

respectively. The latter gives immediately the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{x} e^{-u^{2} d u=\pi^{1 / 2}-\frac{e^{-x^{2}}}{x+\frac{1}{2 x+\frac{2}{x+\frac{2}{2 x+\frac{4}{x+\cdots}}}}},} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for $x>0$. For a discussion of these formulas, with references, see [2, pp. 296-298].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of the paper.
    ${ }^{2}$ If $a$ or $b$ is 0 or a negative integer, the continued fraction breaks off and is a rational fraction.

