A NOTE ON APPROXIMATION BY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

H. KOBER

The theory of the approximation by rational functions on point sets E of the z-plane (z=x+iy) has been summarized by J. L. Walsh¹ who himself has proved a great number of important theorems some of which are fundamental. The results concern both the case when Eis bounded and when E extends to infinity.

In the present note a L_p -theory (0 will be given for the following point sets extending to infinity:

A. The real axis $-\infty < x < \infty$, y = 0.

B. The half-plane $-\infty < x < \infty$, $0 < y < \infty$.

The only poles of the approximating functions are to lie at preassigned points whose number will be required to be as small as possible.² We shall make use of the theory of the class \mathfrak{G}_p the fundamental results of which are due to E. Hille and J. D. Tamarkin;³ \mathfrak{G}_p is the set of functions F(z) which, for $0 < y < \infty$, are regular and satisfy the inequality

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x+iy)|^p dx \leq M^p \quad \text{or} \quad |F(z)| \leq M$$

for $0 or <math>p = \infty$, respectively, where *M* depends on *F* and *p* only. By $|f(x+iy)|_p$ we denote

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \text{ or } \operatorname{ess. u.b.}_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x+iy)|$$

for $0 or <math>p = \infty$, respectively, and by α and β two arbitrarily fixed points in the upper or lower half-plane, respectively. We obtain the following results:⁴

THEOREM 1. Let $0 and <math>F(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, let c be an integer greater than p^{-1} and $r_k(z) = (\alpha - z)^k (z - \beta)^{-c-k} [k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots].$

² Compare Walsh, loc. cit., for example, approximation by polynomials.

⁸ Fund. Math. vol. 25 (1935) pp. 329–352, 1 ≤ *p* < ∞. For 0 < *p* < 1 see T. Kawata, Jap. J. Math. vol. 13 (1936) pp. 421–430.

Received by the editors June 26, 1942.

¹ Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 20, 1935.

⁴ The case $p = \infty$ of each of the results is a special case of Theorem 16, J. L. Walsh, chap. 2.

H. KOBER

Then there are finite linear combinations $s_n(z)$ of the $r_k(z)$ such that

$$|F(t) - s_n(t)|_p = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(t) - s_n(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p} \to 0 \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$

THEOREM 2. (a) Let $0 and <math>F(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of rational functions $s_n(z)$ such that their only poles lie in a single point of the lower half-plane and that $|F(t) - s_n(t)|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ is that F(t) is equivalent to the limit-function of an element F(z) of \mathfrak{H}_p .

(b) When the latter condition is satisfied then there are rational functions $s_n(z)$, with their only poles at $z=\beta$, such that, uniformly in the half-plane $0 < y < \infty$,

$$|F(x+iy) - s_n(x+iy)|_p \to 0$$
 as $n \to \infty$

By a well known result⁵ concerning \mathfrak{H}_p , 2(b) is a consequence of 2(a).

We start with giving explicit approximating functions in some special cases of problem (A), taking $\beta = \overline{\alpha}$.

THEOREM 1'. Let $F(t) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ or $F(t) \in L_2(-\infty, \infty)$, or let F(t) be continuous everywhere, including infinity.⁶ Let c = 2, 1, 0 for $p = 1, 2, \infty$, respectively, and let

$$s_n(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k \frac{(\alpha - z)^k}{(z - \bar{\alpha})^{k+c}}, \qquad a_k = \frac{i(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) \frac{(t - \bar{\alpha})^{k+c-1}}{(\alpha - t)^{k+1}} dt.$$

Then

$$\left| F(t) - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} s_n(t) \right|_1 \text{ or } |F(t) - s_N(t)|_2 \text{ or}$$
$$\left| F(t) - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} s_n(t) \right|_{\infty} = \underset{-\infty < t < \infty}{\text{u.b.}} \left| F(t) - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} s_n(t) \right|_{\infty}$$

respectively, tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. When F(t) is continuous everywhere, including infinity, and of bounded variation in $(-\infty, \infty)$ then the $s_n(t)$ converge to F(t) uniformly in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

It will suffice to take $\alpha = i$, the general case being deduced from this one by the substitution $t = \Re(\alpha) + t' \Im(\alpha)$. Let $F(t) \in L_2(-\infty, \infty)$, $t = \tan(1/2)\vartheta \ [-\pi \leq \vartheta \leq \pi]$, and $f(\vartheta) = 2(1+e^{i\vartheta})^{-1}F(\tan \vartheta/2)$. Then $F(t) \in L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ implies that $f(\vartheta) \in L_2(-\pi, \pi)$, and vice versa. Now

⁵ Since $s_n(t) \in L_p$, we have $s_n(z) \in \mathfrak{G}_p$, $F(z) - s_n(z) \in \mathfrak{G}_p$, and we can apply the Hille-Tamarkin Theorem 2.1 (iii), part 2, loc. cit. \cdot

[June

⁶ A function F(t) is said to be continuous at infinity when its limits, as $t \to \pm \infty$, both exist and are finite and equal.

the Fourier series $\sum b_n e^{in\vartheta}$, belonging to $f(\vartheta)$, converges to $f(\vartheta)$ in the mean square over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We have $e^{i\vartheta} = (i-t)(i+t)^{-1}$, $(1/2)(1+e^{i\vartheta}) = i(i+t)^{-1}$; taking $a_n = ib_n$, we arrive finally at the required result. In a similar way we prove the remaining assertions of the theorem. We note⁷ that the sequence $\{(2i\pi)^{-1/2}(\alpha-\bar{\alpha})^{1/2}(\alpha-t)^n(t-\bar{\alpha})^{-n-1}\}$ $[n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots]$ is a complete orthogonal and normal system with respect to $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ [1 .

To prove Theorem 1, we have to show that, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite linear combination $s_n(z)$ of the $r_k(z)$ such that $|F(t) - s_n(t)|_p < \epsilon$. We can find a positive number b and a function f(t) such that f(t) is zero for $|t| \ge b$ and continuous for $-b \le t \le b$, and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(t) - f(t)|^p dt \leq \delta, \qquad \delta = \begin{cases} (\epsilon/2)^p & \text{for } p > 1\\ (1/2)\epsilon^p & \text{for } p \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The function $g(t) = (t - \beta)^{c} f(t)$ is continuous everywhere, including infinity. From results of Walsh⁸ we deduce the existence of functions

$$\sigma_n(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^n a_{k,n} \left(\frac{\alpha - z}{z - \beta} \right)^k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

 $|g(t) - \sigma_n(t)|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $s_n(z) = (z - \beta)^{-c} \sigma_n(z)$, we have

$$\left|f(t) - s_n(t)\right|_p^p = \left|\frac{g(t) - \sigma_n(t)}{(t - \beta)^c}\right|_p^p \leq \left|g(t) - \sigma_n(t)\right|_{\infty}^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\left|t - \beta\right|^{c_p}} \cdot$$

The right side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, for some *n*, we have $|f(t) - s_n(t)|_p^p < \delta$, $|F(t) - s_n(t)|_p^p < \epsilon^p$ which completes the proof. To prove Theorem 2(a), we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1.9 Let $\varphi(w)$ belong to the Riesz class H_p $[0 , that is to say, let <math>\varphi(w)$ be regular for |w| < 1 and satisfy the inequality

$$\left\| \varphi(re^{i\vartheta}) \right\|_p = \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \varphi(re^{i\vartheta}) \right|^p d\vartheta \right)^{1/p} \leq M, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where M is independent of r^{10} Then there are polynomials $P_n(w)$ $[n=1, 2, \cdots]$ such that $\|\varphi(re^{i\vartheta}) - P_n(re^{i\vartheta})\|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $0 < r \leq 1$.

1943]

⁷ Cf. H. Kober, a forthcoming paper in Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 1943.

⁸ Walsh, loc. cit. chap. 2, Theorem 16. It can also be deduced from Theorem 1' of this paper.

⁹ For $p = \infty$ the result holds if and only if $\phi(e^{i\vartheta})$ is continuous for $-\pi \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$. Cf. Walsh, loc. cit., and Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 26 (1924) pp. 155–170.

¹⁰ F. Riesz, Math. Zeit. vol. 18 (1923) pp. 87-95.

H. KOBER

By well known properties of the class H_p , it will suffice to take r=1. Let $\varphi(w) = \sum a_n w^n$. Since, for any fixed R [0 < R < 1] and uniformly with respect to ϑ $[-\pi \leq \vartheta \leq \pi]$, the series $\sum a_n R^n e^{in\vartheta}$ converges to $\varphi(Re^{i\vartheta})$, the result can be deduced by means of the well known equation $\||\varphi(e^{i\vartheta}) - \varphi(re^{i\vartheta})\|_p \to 0$ $[r \to 1]$.

LEMMA 2. Let $w = (i-z)(i+z)^{-1}$. The function F(z) belongs to \mathfrak{H}_p , if, and only if, the function $(1+w)^{-2/p}\varphi(w)$ belongs to H_p , where $\varphi(w) = F(z)$.

Hille and Tamarkin have proved¹¹ that the condition $\varphi(w) \in H_p$ is necessary. To define the function $(1+w)^{-2/p}$, we cut the *w*-plane along the negative real axis from w = -1 to $w = -\infty$. When F(z)belongs to \mathfrak{H}_p then its limit function F(t) $[y \rightarrow 0, x=t]$ belongs to $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, therefore $(1+e^{t\vartheta})^{-2/p}\varphi(e^{t\vartheta})$ to $L_p(-\pi, \pi)$. Let $\psi(w) = (1+w)^{-2/p}\varphi(w)$, and 0 < q < p/3. By Hölder's theorem, we have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi(re^{i\vartheta})|^q d\vartheta \leq \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(re^{i\vartheta})|^p\right)^{q/p} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\vartheta}{|1+re^{i\vartheta}|^{2q/(p-q)}}\right)^{1-q/p} .$$

The right side is uniformly bounded for 0 < r < 1. Hence $\psi(w) \in H_q$; its limit-function $\psi(e^{i\vartheta})$, however, belongs to $L_p(-\pi, \pi)$; hence¹² $\psi(w) \in H_p$. Conversely, let $\psi(w) \in H_p$. From a result due to R. M. Gabriel¹³ we deduce that

$$\int_{C} |\psi(w)|^{p} |dw| \leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi(e^{i\vartheta})|^{p} d\vartheta,$$

where C is any circle strictly interior to the unit circle $\Gamma [|w|=1]$. By Fatou's theorem, this inequality holds when C is a circle touching Γ from within at w = -1. Finally, by the transformation $w = (i-z)(i+z)^{-1}$, we deduce that $|F(x+iy)|_p \leq 2^{2/p} ||\psi(e^{t\theta})||_p$ $[0 < y < \infty]$ which proves the lemma. In a similar way we can show that when $F(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_p$ and $F(t) \in L_q(-\infty, \infty)$ $[0 < p_q^p \leq \infty]$ then $F(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_q$.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 , let <math>f_n(z) \in \mathfrak{G}_p$ $[n = 1, 2, \cdots]$, and let $f_n(t)$ be the limit-function of $f_n(z)$. Let F(t) be defined in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $|F(t) - f_n(t)|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then F(t) is equivalent to the limit-function of an element f(z) of \mathfrak{G}_p .

440

¹¹ Loc. cit. Lemma 2.5.

¹² V. Smirnoff, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 188 (1929) pp. 131–133. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, Warsaw, 1935, 7.56(iv).

¹³ J. London Math. Soc. vol. 5 (1930) pp. 129–131. Cf. Hille-Tamarkin, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5.

The proof for $0 is entirely different from that for <math>1 \le p \le \infty$, given in a former paper.¹⁴ Let $0 and <math>\rho > 0$, and let $\phi(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$. Then, for $\rho \le y < \infty$, we have $|\phi(z)| \le ((1/2)\pi\rho)^{-1/p} |\phi(t)|_p$.¹⁶ Since $|f_n(t) - f_m(t)|_p \to 0 \quad [m > n \to \infty]$, taking $\phi(z) = f_m(z) - f_n(z)$ we can deduce that the sequence $\{f_n(z)\}$ converges to an analytic function f(z), uniformly for $-\infty < x < \infty$, $\rho < y < \infty$. Since there is a constant K, independent of n, such that $|f_n(t)|_p \le K$, we have $|f_n(x+iy)|_p \le K$, and we can deduce that $|f(x+iy)|_p \le K$ for any positive y. Hence $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$. We are left to show that f(t), the limit-function of f(z), is equivalent to F(t) in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we have $|(f_m(x) - f_N(x)|_p^p \le \epsilon/12 \text{ for } m \ge N$, fixing N in a suitable way, and $|f_N(x+iy) - f_N(x)|_p^p \le \epsilon/6$ for $0 < y \le \delta = \delta(\epsilon, N)$. Hence

$$|f_m(x+iy) - f_m(x)|_p^p \leq |f_m(x+iy) - f_N(x+iy)|_p^p + |f_m(x) - f_N(x)|_p^p + |f_N(x+iy) - f_N(x)|_p^p \leq \epsilon/3$$

for $m \ge N$, $0 < y \le \delta$, since the first term on the right side is not greater than the second term. Given M > 0, we have

$$\int_{-M}^{M} |f(x) - f_m(x)|^p dx \leq \int_{-M}^{M} |f(x + iy) - f_m(x + iy)|^p dx + |f(x + iy) - f(x)|_p^p + |f_m(x + iy) - f_m(x)|_p^p.$$

The right side is smaller than ϵ for $m \ge m_0(\epsilon)$, as we see fixing a suitable value for y. Consequently f(x) = F(x) almost everywhere in any finite interval (-M, M) and, therefore, in $(-\infty, \infty)$. With a slight alteration, the proof holds for $1 \le p < \infty$.

By the lemma, the necessity of the condition in Theorem 2(a) is evident. For $s_n(t)$ belongs to $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, therefore $s_n(z)$ to \mathfrak{H}_p . To prove its sufficiency, we take first 1 . By Theorem 1, there are $rational functions <math>R_n(z)$ such that their only poles lie at $z = \overline{\beta}$ and $z = \beta$ and that $|F(t) - R_n(t)|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Taking $R_n(z) = s_n(z) + \sigma_n(z)$, where the rational functions s_n and σ_n vanish at infinity and have no poles other than at $z = \overline{\beta}$ or $z = \beta$, respectively, we have $s_n(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$, $\overline{\sigma_n(\overline{z})} \in \mathfrak{H}_p$. Denoting by \mathfrak{H} the Hilbert operator

$$\mathfrak{F}f = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)dt}{t-x},$$

we have $|\mathfrak{F}|_{p} \leq C_{p}|f|_{p}$, $\mathfrak{F} = iF(x)$ and $\mathfrak{F}s_{n} = is_{n}(x)$, $\mathfrak{F}\sigma_{n} = -i\sigma_{n}(x)$.¹⁴

1943]

¹⁴ H. Kober, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 48 (1942) pp. 421-427.

¹⁵ This can be shown by means of the inequality (73), M. Plancherel and G. Polya, Comment. Math. Helv. vol. 10 (1937–1938) pp. 110–163.

Hence

442

$$2 | F(t) - s_n(t) |_p = | iF + \mathfrak{H}F - (iR_n + \mathfrak{H}R_n) |_p$$

$$\leq | F - R_n |_p + | \mathfrak{H}(F - R_n) |_p \leq (C_p + 1) | F - R_n |_p$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence $|F(t) - s_n(t)|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let now $0 and <math>F(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$, let $\beta = -i$, $z = i(1-w)(1+w)^{-1}$ and $\varphi(w) = F(z)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, from the Lemmas 2 and 1 we infer the existence of a polynomial P(z) such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\vartheta})(1+e^{i\vartheta})^{-2/p} - P(e^{i\vartheta})|^p d\vartheta \leq \epsilon/4.$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| F(t) - (1 + e^{t\vartheta})^{2/p} P\left(\frac{i-t}{i+t}\right) \right|^p dt \leq \epsilon/2,$$

where $t = \tan \vartheta/2$. Let *b* be an integer, $p^{-1} < b \le 1 + p^{-1}$. Then the rational function $\chi(z) = (2i)^b (i+z)^{-b} P\{(i-z)(i+z)^{-1}\}$ has no singularity except at z = -i. Since $\chi(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, we have $|\chi(t)|_p = C < \infty$. Now the function $(1+e^{i\vartheta})^{2/p-b}$ can be approximated by polynomials $Q_m(e^{i\vartheta}) \quad [m=1, 2, \cdots]$, uniformly for $-\pi \le \vartheta \le \pi$. Hence, for some *m*, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| (1 + e^{t\vartheta})^{2/p} P\left(\frac{i-t}{i+t}\right) - \chi(t) Q_m\left(\frac{i-t}{i+t}\right) \right|^p dt < \epsilon/2.$$

Thus $|F(t) - \chi(t)Q_m\{(i-t)(i+t)^{-1}\}|_p < \epsilon^{1/p}$. This completes the proof which, slightly altered, holds for 1 .

For $p=1, 2, \infty$, we obtain explicit approximating functions by Theorem 1' and by the lemma:

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $F(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$, let a be an integer and $a \geq 0$ for p = 1, $a \geq 2$ for $p = \infty$, $a \geq 1$ otherwise; then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) \frac{(\alpha - t)^n}{(t - \beta)^{n+a}} dt = 0 \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

THEOREM 2'. Let $p = 2, 1, \text{ or } \infty$ and c = 1, 2, or 0, respectively; let $F(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_p$ and F(t), the limit-function of F(z), be continuous everywhere including infinity when $p = \infty$. Let $s_n(z)$ be defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \frac{(\bar{\beta}-z)^{k}}{(z-\beta)^{k+c}} \left[p = 2 \right] \quad or \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_{k} \frac{(\bar{\beta}-z)^{k}}{(z-\beta)^{k+c}} \begin{bmatrix} p = 1, \\ p = \infty \end{bmatrix},$$

[June

where

$$a_k = i \frac{\overline{\beta} - \beta}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) \frac{(t-\beta)^{k+c-1}}{(\overline{\beta} - t)^{k+1}} dt.$$

Then, uniformly for $0 \leq y < \infty$, $|F(x+iy) - s_n(x+iy)|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying Theorem 2' to the components of g(z) = (1/2)s(1-s) $\Gamma((1/2)s)\pi^{-s/2}\zeta(s)$,¹⁶ where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function and z=i(1-2s), we can deduce the following corollary:

$$Let \ 0 \leq a < \infty, \ q = i(1-a), \ r = i(1+a), \ let$$

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x}; \qquad b_0 = \vartheta(1)/2 + (1-a/2) \int_1^{\infty} v^{a/4} \vartheta'(v) dv;$$

$$L_n^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n+j,k+j} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!};$$

$$b_n = (-1)^n \int_1^{\infty} v^{a/4} \vartheta'(v) \left\{ L_n^{(0)}(\log v)/2 - (a/2) L_n^{(-1)}(\log v)/2 \right\} dv,$$

$$n > 0.$$

Then the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \left\{ \left(\frac{q-z}{r+z} \right)^n + \left(\frac{q+z}{r-z} \right)^n \right\}$$

converges to g(z) uniformly for $-\infty < x < \infty$, $-a \le y \le a$, while it does not converge whenever |y| > a.

The series takes a simple form for a = 0 (critical line).

The University, Edgbaston, Birmingham, England 443

1943]

¹⁶ In fact to the function $g_1(z-ia) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\infty}$, where $g(z) = g_1(z) + g_1(-z)$, $g_1(z) = ((1+z^2)/16) \int_1^\infty \{\vartheta(t) - 1\} t^{(iz-3)/4} dt - (1/4) - (iz/4) \{\vartheta(1) - 1\}$.