## ON NON-CUT SETS OF LOCALLY CONNECTED CONTINUA

W. M. KINCAID

W. L. Ayres ${ }^{1}$ and H. M. Gehman ${ }^{2}$ have proved independently that if a locally connected continuum $S$ contains a non-cut point $p$, there exists an arbitrarily small region $R$ containing $p$ and such that $S-R$ is connected. Our paper is concerned with certain generalizations of this theorem.

We shall consider a space $S$ which is a locally connected continuum and contains a closed set $P$ such that $S-P$ is connected. We show that under these hypotheses $P$ can be enclosed in an open set $R$, the sum of a finite number of regions, whose complement is a locally connected continuum. We show further that if there exists a family of sets $\mathfrak{F}$ no element of which separates $S-P$, then there exist two open sets $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ (with $R \supset R^{\prime} \supset P$ ) of the above type and having the property that no element of $\mathfrak{F}$ contained in $S-R$ separates $S-R^{\prime}$. When the elements of $\mathfrak{F}$ are single points, it is possible to choose $R^{\prime}=R$; but this is not possible in the more general case.

We close by showing that if $S$ is not separated by any element of $\mathfrak{F}$ plus any set of $n$ points, and if $Q$ is the sum of $n$ sets of sufficiently small diameter and having sufficiently great mutual distances, then the set $S-Q$ has at most one component whose diameter is greater than a preassigned positive quantity, and this component is not separated by any element of $\mathfrak{F}$ at a sufficiently great distance from $Q$.

We recall some well known results. ${ }^{3}$
Let $M$ be a locally connected continuum. Then:
(1) $M$ is a metric space having property $S .{ }^{4}$
(2) $M$ is the sum of a finite number of arbitrarily small connected

[^0]subsets each having property $S$. Furthermore these subsets may be chosen either as open sets or as closed sets.
(3) If $N \subset M$ and $N$ has property $S$, then any set $N_{0}$ such that $N \subset N_{0} \subset \bar{N}$ is locally connected.

From the preceding results follows:
Lemma 1. If $N$ is any subset of a locally connected continuum $M$ and $V$ is any $\delta$-neighborhood of $N$, then there exists an open set $U$ that contains $N$, has property $S$, and is such that $\bar{U}$ is the sum of a finite number of locally connected continua contained in $V$.

It may clearly be supposed that every component of $U$ contains a point of N .

Throughout this paper we shall deal with a compact metric space $S$, which we suppose to be a locally connected continuum. We denote by $\delta(A)$ the diameter of any set $A$, and by $V_{\epsilon}(A)$ the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $A$.

We shall require the following lemma, which was pointed out to the author by Dr. D. W. Hall. Its proof follows directly from the definitions involved.

Lemma 2. Let $M$ be any locally connected subcontinuum of $S$. Then if $T$ is the sum of any set of components of $S-M$, the set $K=S-T$ is a locally connected continuum.

Using methods very similar to those of Ayres ${ }^{1}$ and Gehman, ${ }^{2}$ we obtain the following generalization of their theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be any closed set such that $S-P$ is connected. Then for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists an open set $R$ such that (1) $P \subset R \subset V_{\epsilon}(P)$, (2) the set $R$ is the sum of a finite number of regions, each of which intersects $P$, and (3) $S-R$ is a locally connected continuum.

Proof. Let $R_{1}=V_{\delta}(P)$ and $R_{2}=V_{\delta^{\prime}}(P)$, where $0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta \leqq \epsilon$. Then, since $S$ is locally connected, at most a finite number of components of $S-R_{2}$ intersect $S-R_{1}$; let these be $K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{n}$, and choose $p_{i} \in K_{i}\left(R_{1}-R_{2}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Since $P$ is closed, $S-P$ is a region, and we can find arcs $p_{1} p_{i} \subset(S-P)$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$; thus we construct the connected set $C=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(K_{i}+p_{1} p_{i}\right)$.

Taking $d=\rho(P, C)$, we conclude from Lemma 1 that there exists a locally connected continuum $V$ contained in $V_{d}(C)$ and therefore disjoint with $P$. Now let $R$ be the sum of all components of $S-V$ that contain points of $P$. It follows from the local connectivity of $S$ that $R$ is open. To prove the theorem, we must show that $R$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2), and (3).

Obviously $P \subset R$. On the other hand, $R \subset(S-V) \subset(S-C) \subset R_{1}$ $\subset V_{\epsilon}(P)$. Thus $R$ satisfies (1).
To see that (2) holds, we note that $\rho(P, S-R)>0$, because $R$ is open. It follows from Lemma 1 that there exists an open set $R_{3}$, the sum of a finite number of regions, such that $P \subset R_{3} \subset R$. We see that $R$ is likewise the sum of a finite number of regions, each of which intersects $P$, for every component of $R$ contains a point of $P$ and thus a component of $R_{3}$.

Applying Lemma 2 with $M=V$ and $T=R$ shows that $S-R$ is a locally connected continuum. Consequently $R$ satisfies (3), and the proof is complete.

The following example shows that Theorem 1 loses its validity if the requirement that $P$ be closed is dropped.

Example. Take for $S$ the closed rectangle in the $x y$-plane bounded by the lines $x= \pm 2, y= \pm 1$. Divide the rectangle into four rectangles by drawing the lines $x=0, x= \pm 1$. Denote by $P^{\prime}$ the set consisting of the two end rectangles (open or closed), the segment $x=0,-1 \leqq y \leqq 1$, and the curve $y=\sin (1 / x),-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$; thus $P^{\prime}$ is connected but not locally connected. We see that Theorem 1 does not hold for $P=S-P^{\prime}$, for no set having the properties of $R$ can be found corresponding to $\epsilon<1$.

Theorem 2. Let $P$ be any closed set such that $S-P$ is connected, and suppose that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a family of subsets of $S$ such that $S-(P+Q)$ is connected for each $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then, given $\epsilon>0$, there exist open sets $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, each of which is the sum of a finite number of regions intersecting $P$, such that (1) $P \subset R^{\prime} \subset R \subset V_{\epsilon}(P)$, (2) the sets $S-R$ and $S-R^{\prime}$ are locally connected continua, and (3) if $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q \subset(S-R)$, then $S-\left(R^{\prime}+Q\right)$ is connected.

Proof. We first select an open set $R \supset P$ which has the same properties as the $R$ of Theorem 1. Next we choose another open set $R_{1} \supset P$, having the same properties as $R$, and such that $\bar{R}_{1} \subset R$. Then all components of $R-R_{1}$ have limit points in both $S-R$ and $\bar{R}_{1}$, since $S-R_{1}$ is connected and $R$ is the sum of a finite number of regions, each intersecting $P$ and in one of which any component of $R-R_{1}$ must lie. It follows from the local connectivity of $S$ that the number of such components is finite.

Now, if any two components of $R-R_{1}$ lie in some component $C$ of $R-P$, we connect them by a simple arc in $C$; this is possible because $C$ is a region. We define $V_{1}$ as the sum of $S-R_{1}$ and all such arcs.

Clearly $V_{1}$ is connected. Moreover, if $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q \subset(S-R)$, then $V_{1}-Q$ is connected. For suppose that $x$ and $y$ are points of $V_{1}-Q$.

Then $Q$ cannot separate $x$ from $R-R_{1}$ in $V_{1}$. This is obvious if $x \in R V_{1}$; if $x \in\left(V_{1}-R\right)$, we see (since $R-R_{1}$ separates $x$ from $S-V_{1}$ in $S$ ) that $Q$ cannot separate $x$ from $R-R_{1}$ in $V_{1}$ without separating them in $S$, contrary to hypothesis. Thus there exists a component $X$ of $R-R_{1}$ such that $x$ and $X$ lie in the same component of $V_{1}-Q$. Similarly, there exists a component $Y$ of $R-R_{1}$ such that $y$ and $Y$ lie in the same component of $V_{1}-Q$.

If $X$ and $Y$ are in the same component of $R-P$, there exists an arc in $R V_{1}$ connecting $X$ and $Y$. On the other hand, if $X$ and $Y$ lie in different components of $R-P$, they must lie in the same component of $V_{1}-Q$. For suppose that $X \subset A B$, where $A$ is a component of $R-P$ and $B$ is a component of $V_{1}-Q$. Then $A$ is closed in $R-P$, while $B$ is closed in $V_{1}-Q$. Thus the sets $(A+B) \bar{R}_{1}=A \bar{R}_{1}$ and $A+B-R$ $=B-R$ are closed in $S-(P+Q)$. From the construction of $V_{1}$ we see that $A\left(R-R_{1}\right) \subset B\left(R-R_{1}\right)$, and it follows that $(A+B)\left(\bar{R}-R_{1}\right)$ is closed in $S-(P+Q)$. Hence $A+B$, being the sum of three sets closed in $S-(P+Q)$, is closed in $S-(P+Q)$. Now suppose $Y \not \subset A+B$. Then we can find another set $A^{\prime}+B^{\prime} \supset Y$ of the same form as, and disjoint with, $A+B$. In this way it follows that $S-(P+Q)$ is the sum of a finite number of disjoint sets closed in $S-(P+Q)$, which is impossible since $S-(P+Q)$ is connected. Thus $X$ and $Y$, and therefore $x$ and $y$, lie in the same component of $V_{1}-Q$.

By Lemma 1, there exists a locally connected continuum $V^{\prime}$ containing $V_{1}$ and disjoint with $P$. We denote by $R^{\prime}$ the sum of all components of $S-V^{\prime}$ that contain points of $P$. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2, as in the proof of Theorem 1 , that $R^{\prime}$ is the sum of a finite number of regions and that $S-R^{\prime}$ is a locally connected continuum. Moreover, if $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q \subset(S-R)$, we conclude, since $\left(S-R^{\prime}\right)-V_{1} \subset R_{1}$, that $S-\left(R^{\prime}+Q\right)$ is connected. This completes the proof.

The question naturally arises whether, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, it is possible to find a single open set $T$ containing $P$ and playing the parts of both $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ in that theorem. The following example shows that such a set $T$ cannot in general be found.

Example. Take for $S$ the plane set consisting of two line segments, $a_{0} p$ and $b_{0} p$, together with a sequence of parallel lines $a_{0} b_{0}, a_{1} b_{1}, a_{2} b_{2}, \cdots$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$ and $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots$ are sequences of points, converging monotonically to $p$, on the lines $a_{0} p$ and $b_{0} p$, respectively. We denote by $c_{n}$ the midpoint of the segment $a_{n} b_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2, \cdots$.

Now, take for $P$ the point $p$ and for $\mathfrak{F}$ the family of all pairs of points of $S$ not separating $S-p$. Let $R$ be any region containing $p$ (but disjoint with $a_{1} b_{1}$ ). Then there exists a greatest integer $n \geqq 1$
for which $a_{n} b_{n} \subset(S-R)$. It follows that the pair of points ( $c_{n}+a_{n-1}$ ) separates $S-R$. However, the set $S-\left(p+c_{n}+a_{n-1}\right)$ is connected; hence $\left(c_{n}+a_{n-1}\right) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $R$ cannot be taken as $T$.

However, the stronger conclusion can be drawn when $\mathfrak{F}$ is a family of single points, as we now show.

Theorem 3. Let $P$ be any closed set such that $S-P$ is connected. Suppose $F$ is a set such that $S-(P+q)$ is connected for $q \in F$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists an open set $R \supset P$, contained in $V_{\epsilon}(P)$ and consisting of a finite number of regions, such that $S-R$ is a locally connected continuum and $S-(R+q)$ is connected for $q \in F$.

Proof. By Theorem 2, there exist open sets $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, each consisting of a finite number of regions, such that (1) $P \subset R_{2} \subset R_{1} \subset V_{\epsilon}(P)$, (2) the sets $S-R_{1}$ and $S-R_{2}$ are locally connected continua, and (3) $S-\left(R_{2}+q\right)$ is connected for $q \in F\left(S-R_{1}\right)$. We write $V_{2}=S-R_{2}$.

Now, for $y \in V_{2} R_{1} F$ we define $K_{y}$ as the set consisting of $y$ plus the component of $V_{2}-y$ containing $S-R_{1}$. Then we let

$$
V=\prod_{y \in V_{2} R_{1} F} K_{y}, \quad R=S-V=\sum_{y \in V_{2} R_{1} F}\left(S-K_{y}\right)
$$

For any $y \in V_{2} R_{1} F$, the set $S-K_{y}$ is the sum of a finite number of regions. For suppose $x \in\left(S-K_{y}\right)$. Since $S-y$ is a region, there exists an $\operatorname{arc} x r \subset(S-y)$ for all $r \in R_{2}$. If there exists a point $x_{1} \in K_{y}$ on $x r$, there exists a first such point $x_{2}$, since $K_{y}$ is closed. The arc $x x_{2}$ is not contained in $V_{2}$, since $x$ and $x_{2}$ lie in different components of $V_{2}-y$; thus there exists a point $x_{3} \in\left(S-V_{2}\right)=R_{2}$ on $x x_{2}$. In any case, therefore, there exists in $S-K_{y}$ an arc joining $x$ to some point of $R_{2}$. It follows that $S-K_{y}$ is the sum of a finite number of regions, each containing at least one component of $R_{2}$. Since this is true for all $y \in V_{2} R_{1} F$, the same must be true of $R$.

The set $V$ is an $A$-set ${ }^{5}$ in $V_{2}$. For suppose otherwise. Then, since $V$ is closed, there exists an arc $x q y$ in $V_{2}$ spanning $V$. Since $q \notin V$, there exists a point $z \in V_{2} R_{1} F$ such that $q \notin K_{z}$. But $x+y \subset K_{z}$. Therefore $z$ must separate both $x$ and $y$ from $q$ in $V_{2}$, which is impossible. Since $V$ is an $A$-set, it is a locally connected continuum.

Moreover, $V$ has no cut points in $F$. For let $x \in V, y \in V$, and $q \in V F$. If $q \in\left(V-R_{1}\right)$, there exists an arc $x y \subset\left(V_{2}-q\right)$, because $q$ is not a cut point of the locally connected continuum $V_{2}$; since $V$ is an $A$-set in $V_{2}$, the $\operatorname{arc} x y \subset(V-q)$. If $q \in V R_{1}$, we have $x+y \subset K_{q}$ and hence there exists an arc $x y \subset\left(K_{q}-q\right)$; again $x y \subset(V-q)$.

[^1]We have now shown that $R$ is the sum of a finite number of regions, that $S-R$ is a locally connected continuum, and that $S-(R+q)$ is connected for any $q \in F$. Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 4. Suppose that no $m$ points separate $S$, and that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a family of sets such that $S-\left(Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}\right)$ is connected for any $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and any $m$ points $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{m}$ of $S$. Then corresponding to any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta>0$ such that if $P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{m}$ are $m$ sets contained in $S$, each of diameter less than $\delta$, while $\rho\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)>2 \epsilon$ for $0 \leqq i \leqq j \leqq m$, the set $S-\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}$ has at most one component $K$ of diameter greater than $\epsilon$, and (if $K$ exists) $K-Q$ is connected for every $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ for which $\rho\left(Q, \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}\right)>\epsilon$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}^{(1)}$ be the family of sets having as elements all sets of the type $Q+Q_{1}$, where $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q_{1}$ is any set of at most $m-1$ points. Then if $F \in \mathfrak{F}^{(1)}$, the set $S-(F+p)$ is connected for every $p \in S$.

Using Theorem 2, we obtain for every point $x \in S$ two regions $V_{x}$ and $W_{x}$, each of diameter less than $\epsilon$, such that $x \in V_{x} \subset W_{x}$, while the sets $S-V_{x}$ and $S-W_{x}$ are locally connected continua, and $S-\left(F+V_{x}\right)$ is connected if $F \in \dot{\mathscr{F}}^{(1)}$ and $F \subset\left(S-W_{x}\right)$. We then choose a third region $U_{x} \supset x$ such that $\bar{U}_{x} \subset V_{x}$. By the Heine-Borel theorem, there exists a finite subfamily $\left\{U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n_{1}}\right\}$ of the family $\left\{U_{x}\right\}$ such that $S=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} U_{i}$. In each set $U_{i}\left(i=1,2, \cdots, n_{1}\right)$ we choose a point $x_{i}$ for which $U_{x_{i}}=U_{i}$ and define $V_{i}=V_{x_{i}}, W_{i}=W_{x_{i}}$. Let $\delta_{1}=\min _{i=1}, \cdots, n_{1} \rho\left(U_{i}, S-V_{i}\right)$.

Now denote by $\mathfrak{F}^{(2)}$ the family of sets having as elements all sets of the type $Q+Q_{2}$, where $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q_{2}$ is any set of at most $m-2$ points, and for $i=1,2, \cdots, n_{1}$ define $\mathfrak{F}_{i}^{(2)}$ as the largest subfamily of $\mathfrak{F}^{(2)}$ all of whose elements are contained in $S-W_{i}$. Then if $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{i}^{(2)}$, we see that $\left(S-V_{i}\right)-(F+p)$ is connected for every $p \in\left(S-W_{i}\right)$.

Applying Theorem 2 to the locally connected continuum $S-V_{i}$, we obtain for every point $x \in\left(S-W_{i}\right)\left(i=1, \cdots, n_{1}\right)$ three regions $U_{i x}, V_{i x}$, and $W_{i x}$, each of diameter less than $\epsilon$, in the locally connected continuum $S-V_{i}$, such that $x \in U_{i x} \subset \bar{U}_{i x} \subset V_{i x} \subset W_{i x}$ and $S-\left(V_{i}+V_{i x}\right)$ is a locally connected continuum, while $\left(S-V_{i}\right)$ $-\left(F+V_{i x}\right)$ is connected if $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{i}^{(2)}$ and $F \subset\left(S-W_{i x}\right)$. Writing

$$
T_{i}=\underset{x}{E}\left[\rho\left(x, W_{i}\right) \geqq \epsilon\right], \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n_{1},
$$

we see that if $x \in T_{i}$, the set $W_{i x}$ is contained in the interior of $S-W_{i}$ and is therefore a region in $S$. It follows by the Heine-Borel theorem that the family of regions $\left\{U_{i x}\right\}$ (for all $x \in T_{i}$ ) contains a finite subfamily $\left\{U_{i 1}, U_{i 2}, \cdots, U_{i n_{2}(i)}\right\}$ such that

$$
T_{i} \subset \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}(i)} U_{i j} \subset\left(S-\bar{W}_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, n_{1}
$$

We write for simplicity $n_{2}=\max _{i=1, \cdots, n_{1}}\left[n_{2}(i)\right]$, and by making repetitions if necessary we obtain $n_{1}$ families, each containing $n_{2}$ regions and having the above properties. Regions $V_{i j}$ and $W_{i j}$ are then selected for $i=1, \cdots, n_{1}, j=1, \cdots, n_{2}$ as in the preceding case. We let

$$
\delta_{2}=\min _{i=1, \cdots, n_{1} ; j=1, \cdots, n_{2}} \rho\left(U_{i j}, S-V_{i j}\right) .
$$

We proceed by induction as follows. Suppose that for some $k<m$ we have found three sets of regions $\left\{U_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}\right\},\left\{V_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}\right\}$, and $\left\{W_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}\right\}$ (where $i_{j}=1,2, \cdots, n_{j} ; j=1,2, \cdots, k$ ), having the following properties:
(1) $\bar{U}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \subset V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \subset W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}$;
(2) $\delta\left(W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\right)<\epsilon$;
(3) $S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}$ is a locally connected continuum;
(4) $T_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k-1}} \subset \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{n_{k}} U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \subset\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \bar{W}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)$,
where

$$
T_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k-1}}=\underset{x}{E}\left[\rho\left(x, W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right) \geqq \epsilon \text { for } j=1,2, \cdots, k-1\right] ;
$$

(5) if $\left(Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m-k} q_{i}\right) \subset\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}\right)$, where $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $q_{i} \in S$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m-k$, then the set $\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)-\left(Q+\sum_{i=1}^{m-k} q_{i}\right)$ is connected.

In order to take the next step, we define $\dot{\mathfrak{F}}^{(k+1)}$ as the family of sets having as elements all sets of the type $Q+Q_{k+1}$, where $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $Q_{k+1}$ is any set of at most $m-(k+1)$ points. Then we denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{(k+1)}\left(i_{j}=1,2, \cdots, n_{j} ; j=1,2, \cdots, k\right)$ the largest subfamily of $\mathfrak{F}^{(k+1)}$ all of whose elements are contained in $S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}$. It follows from (5) that $\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}\right)-(F+p)$ is connected for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{(k+1)}$ and $p \in\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)$.

Applying Theorem 2 to the locally connected continuum $S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}$, we obtain for any point $x \in\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}\right)$ three regions $U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}, V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}$, and $W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}$, each of diameter less than $\epsilon$, in the locally connected continuum $S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1}} \cdots_{i j}$, such that

$$
x \in U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x} \subset \bar{U}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x} \subset V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x} \subset W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}
$$

and $S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}-V_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k} x}$ is a locally connected continuum, while $\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)-\left(F+V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}\right)$ is connected if $F \in \mathscr{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{(k+1)}$ and $F \subset\left(S-W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}\right)$. Then, defining $T_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}$ as in (4), we see as before that $U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} x}$ is a region in $S$; using the Heine-Borel theorem,
we deduce the existence of a finite family of regions $\left\{U_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k} i_{k+1}}\right\}$ ( $i_{k+1}=1,2, \cdots, n_{k+1}$ ) such that

$$
T_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \subset \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{n_{k+1}} U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k+1}} \subset\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \bar{W}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)
$$

Selecting families of regions $\left\{V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k+1}}\right\}$ and $\left\{W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k+1}}\right\}$ as before, we obtain three sets of regions for which (1)-(5) hold with $k$ replaced by $k+1$.

We carry out this construction for $k=1,2, \cdots, m$, and let

$$
\delta_{k}=\min _{i_{j}=1, \cdots, n_{i} ; j=1, \cdots, k} \rho\left(U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}, S-V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\right), \quad k=1, \cdots, m .
$$

We shall now show that the theorem holds with $\delta=\min _{k=1, \ldots, m} \delta_{k}$. Consider any family of sets $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{m}\right\}$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Since $S=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} U_{i}$, there exists a positive integer $i_{1} \leqq n_{1}$ such that $P_{1} U_{i_{1}} \neq 0$; then since $\delta\left(P_{1}\right)<\delta \leqq \delta_{1}$, we have $P_{1} \subset V_{i_{1}}$. Since $\rho\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)>2 \epsilon$, it is clear that $P_{2} \subset T_{i_{1}}$, and hence there exists a positive integer $i_{2} \leqq n_{2}$ such that $P_{2} U_{i_{1} i_{2}} \neq 0$; it follows that $P_{2} \subset V_{i_{1} i_{2}}$. Now suppose that for $j=1,2, \cdots, k<m$ there exist numbers $i_{j} \leqq n_{j}$ such that $P_{j} \subset V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}$. Since $\rho\left(P_{j}, P_{k+1}\right)>2 \epsilon$ for $j=1, \cdots, k$, we see that $P_{k+1} \subset T_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}$; thus $P_{k+1} U_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} i_{k+1}} \neq 0$ for some $i_{k+1} \leqq n_{k+1}$, whence $P_{k+1} \subset V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k} i_{k+1}}$. Proceeding in this way, we find positive integers $i_{j} \leqq n_{j}$ such that $P_{j} \subset V_{i_{1} \ldots i_{j}}$ for $j=1,2$, -•, $m$.
We conclude from property (5) above that $S-\sum_{j=1}^{m} V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}$ is connected, and hence must be contained in a single component $K$ of $S-\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}$. Any other component of $S-\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}$ must therefore be contained in one of the regions $V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}$; thus the diameter of such a component must be less than $\epsilon$.

Finally, suppose that $Q \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\rho\left(Q, \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}\right)>\epsilon$. Then by (2) above, $Q \subset\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{m} W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}\right)$; by (5), $\left(S-\sum_{j=1}^{m} V_{i_{1} \cdots i_{j}}-Q\right)$ is connected. It follows that $K-Q$ is connected.

Remark. If no $n(>m)$ points separate $S$, we may take $\mathfrak{F}$ as the family of all sets of $n-m$ points; then, under the above hypotheses, the component $K$ of $S-P$ (where $P=\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}$ ) is not separated by any set of $n-m$ points $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{n-m}$ such that $\rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-m} q_{i}, P\right)>\epsilon$.
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