## ON THE MEAN VALUES OF AN ANALYTIC FUNCTION ${ }^{1}$

## RAPHAEL M. ROBINSON

This note contains improvements on the results in two recent papers by Nehari. ${ }^{2}$

The first paper shows that if $f(z)$ is regular for $|z|<1$, and if the mean of $|f(z)|$ on the circle $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for each $r<1$, then the mean of $|f(z)|^{2}$ on $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq 6^{-1 / 2}$. We shall show that the conclusion is true for $r \leqq 2^{-1 / 2}$, but not always for a larger value of $r$. More generally, we shall show that the mean of $|f(z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}$ (where $p>1$ is an integer), and that this result is the best possible.

It will be sufficient to prove that if $g(z)$ is a function which is regular for $|z| \leqq 1$ and different from 0 for $|z|<1$, and such that the mean of $|g(z)|$ on $|z|=1$ is less than or equal to 1 , then the mean of $|g(z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}$. For suppose $0<R<1$, and put

$$
g(z)=f(R z): \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{z-\alpha_{\nu}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{\nu} z}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are the zeros of $f(R z)$ in $|z|<1$. We note that $|g(z)|=|f(R z)|$ for $|z|=1$, while $|g(z)|>|f(R z)|$ for $|z|<1$. The function $g(z)$ evidently satisfies the above conditions. From the conclusion that the mean of $|g(z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}$, we see that the mean of $|f(R z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is not greater than 1 for $r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}$, or that the mean of $|f(z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is not greater than 1 for $r \leqq R p^{-1 / 2}$. The desired result follows by letting $R \rightarrow 1$.

We have to show that from the hypothesis $(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leqq 1$ the conclusion

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqq 1, \quad \text { for } r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}
$$

follows. Now since $g(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$, we may put $g(z)=h(z)^{2}$, where $h(z)$ is regular for $|z|<1$. If we put

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad h(z)^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n},
$$

[^0]and use the well known formula
$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n},
$$
we see that the hypothesis becomes $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq 1$, while in a similar manner the desired conclusion becomes
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} \leqq 1, \quad \text { for } r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}
$$

Now $c_{n}=\sum a_{n_{1}} a_{n_{2}} \cdots a_{n_{p}}$, where the sum extends over all sets ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p}$ ) of integers not less than 0 whose sum is $n$. Hence by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq \sum 1 \cdot \sum\left|a_{n_{1}}^{2} a_{n_{2}}^{2} \cdots a_{n_{p}}^{2}\right|,
$$

where the sums have the same range as before. Now $\sum 1$ is the number of ways of distributing $n$ units among $p$ terms, and hence is not greater than $p^{n}$, which is the number of ways of distributing $n$ different things among $p$ sets. Hence for $r \leqq p^{-1 / 2}$ we have

$$
\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} \leqq \sum\left|a_{n_{1}}^{2} a_{n_{2}}^{2} \cdots a_{n_{p}}^{2}\right|,
$$

and therefore

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} \leqq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{p} \leqq 1 .
$$

The theorem is not true for $r>p^{-1 / 2}$. For if $\epsilon>0$ and we put $f(z)=(1+\epsilon z)^{2} /\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right)$, then the hypothesis of the theorem is satisfied. On the other hand, $f(z)^{p}=(1+p \epsilon z+\cdots)^{2} /\left(1+p \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right)$, so that the mean of $|f(z)|^{p}$ on $|z|=r$ is $\left(1+p^{2} \epsilon^{2} r^{2}+\cdots\right) /\left(1+p \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right)$, which is greater than 1 if $p r^{2}>1$ and $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small. This negative conclusion is true also for non-integral values of $p$; but we have been able to prove the positive statement only for integral values of $p$.

We turn now to the second paper mentioned. In this, it is proved that if $f(0)=0$ and if the mean of $|f(z)|$ along each radius of the unit circle is not greater than 1 , then the mean of $|f(z)|$ along $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, but not always for a larger value of $r$. The negative part of the statement is immediate, the counter-example being $f(z)=2 z$. We shall show that the hypothesis $f(0)=0$ is unnecessary, and that the stronger statement that the mean of $|f(z)|^{2}$ along $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq \frac{1}{2}$ is also true.

We prove first the following result. If the mean of $|F(z)|^{2}$ on $|z|=r$
is not greater than 1 for $r<1$, then the mean of $\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$ on $|z|=r$ is less than or equal to 1 for $r \leqq \frac{1}{2}$. If we put $F(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, we have only to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2(n-1)} \leqq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \quad \text { for } r \leqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Since $n \leqq 2^{n-1}$ for all positive integral values of $n$, we see that $n r^{n-1} \leqq 1$, so that the inequality is true. (The result is not correct for $r>\frac{1}{2}$; counterexample, $F(z)=z^{2}$.)

We suppose now that the mean of $|f(z)|$ along each radius of the unit circle is not greater than 1 , and put

$$
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

Since the integral may be taken along a radius, we see that

$$
|F(z)| \leqq 1, \quad \text { for }|z|<1
$$

Hence the mean of $|F(z)|^{2}$ on $|z|=r$ is certainly not greater than 1 for any $r<1$. Therefore the mean of $\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}=|f(z)|^{2}$ on $|z|=r$ is not greater than 1 for $r \leqq \frac{1}{2}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Presented to the Society December 2, 1939.
    ${ }^{2}$ Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, vol. 206 (1938), pp. 19431945; vol. 208 (1939), pp. 1785-1787. My results were obtained during a summer (1939) spent at Stanford University. The two papers mentioned were called to my attention by Professor Szegö.

