

Mathematical
Surveys
and
Monographs

Volume 209



Persistence Theory: From Quiver Representations to Data Analysis

Steve Y. Oudot



American Mathematical Society

Persistence Theory: From Quiver Representations to Data Analysis

**Mathematical
Surveys
and
Monographs**

Volume 209

Persistence Theory: From Quiver Representations to Data Analysis

Steve Y. Oudot



American Mathematical Society
Providence, Rhode Island

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Robert Guralnick Benjamin Sudakov
Michael A. Singer, Chair Constantin Teleman
 Michael I. Weinstein

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62-07, 55U10, 16G20, 68U05.

For additional information and updates on this book, visit
www.ams.org/bookpages/surv-209

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Oudot, Steve Y. 1979-

Persistence theory : from quiver representations to data analysis / Steve Y. Oudot.
 pages cm. — (Mathematical surveys and monographs ; volume 209)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4704-2545-6 (alk. paper)

1. Algebraic topology. 2. Homology theory. I. Title.

QA612.O93 2015

514'.2—dc23

2015027235

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy select pages for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Permissions to reuse portions of AMS publication content are handled by Copyright Clearance Center's RightsLink® service. For more information, please visit: <http://www.ams.org/rightslink>.

Send requests for translation rights and licensed reprints to reprint-permission@ams.org.

Excluded from these provisions is material for which the author holds copyright. In such cases, requests for permission to reuse or reprint material should be addressed directly to the author(s). Copyright ownership is indicated on the copyright page, or on the lower right-hand corner of the first page of each article within proceedings volumes.

© 2015 by the author. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

⊗ The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines
 established to ensure permanence and durability.
Visit the AMS home page at <http://www.ams.org/>

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 20 19 18 17 16 15

Contents

Preface	vii
Introduction	1
Part 1. Theoretical Foundations	11
Chapter 1. Algebraic Persistence	13
1. A quick walk through the theory of quiver representations	14
2. Persistence modules and interval decompositions	19
3. Persistence barcodes and diagrams	21
4. Extension to interval-indecomposable persistence modules	25
5. Discussion	26
Chapter 2. Topological Persistence	29
1. Topological constructions	29
2. Calculations	39
Chapter 3. Stability	49
1. Metrics	50
2. Proof of the stability part of the Isometry Theorem	54
3. Proof of the converse stability part of the Isometry Theorem	60
4. Discussion	61
Part 2. Applications	65
Chapter 4. Topological Inference	67
1. Inference using distance functions	71
2. From offsets to filtrations	78
3. From filtrations to simplicial filtrations	81
Chapter 5. Topological Inference 2.0	85
1. Simple geometric predicates	88
2. Linear size	92
3. Scaling up with the intrinsic dimensionality of the data	95
4. Side-by-side comparison	104
5. Natural images	106
6. Dealing with outliers	110
Chapter 6. Clustering	115
1. Contributions of persistence	117
2. ToMATo	118

3. Theoretical guarantees	122
4. Experimental results	126
5. Higher-dimensional structure	129
Chapter 7. Signatures for Metric Spaces	133
1. Simplicial filtrations for arbitrary metric spaces	138
2. Stability for finite metric spaces	140
3. Stability for totally bounded metric spaces	142
4. Signatures for metric spaces equipped with functions	146
5. Computations	146
Part 3. Perspectives	153
Chapter 8. New Trends in Topological Data Analysis	155
1. Optimized inference pipeline	156
2. Statistical topological data analysis	158
3. Topological data analysis and machine learning	160
Chapter 9. Further prospects on the theory	163
1. Persistence for other types of quivers	163
2. Stability for zigzags	165
3. Simplification and reconstruction	165
Appendix A. Introduction to Quiver Theory with a View Toward Persistence	167
1. Quivers	168
2. The category of quiver representations	168
3. Classification of quiver representations	170
4. Reflections	175
5. Proof of Gabriel's theorem: the general case	186
6. Beyond Gabriel's theorem	191
Bibliography	197
List of Figures	213
Index	217

Preface

It is in the early 2000's that persistence emerged as a new theory in the field of applied and computational topology. This happened mostly under the impulsion of two schools: the one led by H. Edelsbrunner and J. Harer at Duke University, the other led by G. Carlsson at Stanford University. After more than a decade of a steady development, the theory has now reached a somewhat stable state, and the community of researchers and practitioners gathered around it has grown in size from a handful of people to a couple hundred¹. In other words, persistence has become a mature research topic.

The existing books and surveys on the subject [48, 114, 115, 119, 141, 245] are largely built around the topological aspects of the theory, and for particular instances such as the persistent homology of the family of sublevel sets of a Morse function on a compact manifold. While this can be useful for developing intuition, it does create bias in how the subject is understood. A recent monograph [72] tries to correct this bias by focusing almost exclusively on the algebraic aspects of the theory, and in particular on the mathematical properties of persistence modules and of their diagrams.

The goal pursued in the present book is to put the algebraic part back into context², to give a broad view of the theory including also its topological and algorithmic aspects, and to elaborate on its connections to quiver theory on the one hand, to data analysis on the other hand. While the subject cannot be treated with the same level of detail as in [72], the book still describes and motivates the main concepts and ideas, and provides sufficient insights into the proofs so the reader can understand the mechanisms at work.

Throughout the exposition I will be focusing on the currently most stable instance of the theory: 1-dimensional persistence. Other instances, such as multi-dimensional persistence or persistence indexed over general partially ordered sets, are comparatively less well understood and will be mentioned in the last part of the book as directions for future research. The background material on quiver theory provided in Chapter 1 and Appendix A should help the reader understand the challenges associated with them.

Reading guidelines. There are three parts in the book. The first part (Chapters 1 through 3 and Appendix A) focuses on the theoretical foundations of persistence. The second part (Chapters 4 through 7) deals with a selected set of

¹As evidence of this, the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota (<http://www.ima.umn.edu/>) was holding an annual thematic program on *Scientific and Engineering Applications of Algebraic Topology* in the academic year 2013-2014. Their first workshop, devoted to topological data analysis and persistence theory, gathered around 150 people on site, plus 300 simultaneous connections to the live broadcast.

²Let me mention a recent short survey [234] that pursues a similar goal.

applications. The third part (Chapters 8 and 9) talks about future prospects for both the theory and its applications. The document has been designed in the hope that it can provide something to everyone among our community, as well as to newcomers with potentially different backgrounds:

- Readers with a bias towards mathematical foundations and structure theorems will find the current state of knowledge about the decomposability of persistence modules in Chapter 1, and about the stability of their diagrams in Chapter 3. To those who are curious about the connections between persistence and quiver theory, I recommend reading Appendix A.
- Readers with a bias towards algorithms will find a survey of the methods used to compute persistence in Chapter 2, and a thorough treatment of the algorithmic aspects of the applications considered in Part 2.
- Practitioners in applied fields who want to learn about persistence in general will find a comprehensive yet still accessible exposition spanning all aspects of the theory, including its connections to some applications. To those I recommend the following walk through Part 1 of the document:
 - a) The general introduction,
 - b) Sections 1 through 3 of Chapter 1,
 - c) Sections 1.1 and 2.1 of Chapter 2,
 - d) Sections 1, 2.1 and 4 of Chapter 3.

Then, they can safely read Parts 2 and 3.

For the reader’s convenience, the introduction of each chapter in Parts 1 and 2 mentions the prerequisites for reading the chapter and provides references to the relevant literature. As a general rule, I would recommend reading [115] or [142] prior to this book, as these references give quite accessible introductions to the field of applied and computational topology.

Acknowledgements. First of all, I want to express my gratitude towards the people who have contributed to shape persistence theory as we know it today. Among them, let me thank my co-authors, with whom I had an exciting time developing some of the ideas presented in this book: Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, Mickaël Buchet, Mathieu Carrière, Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, Vin de Silva, Jie Gao, Marc Glisse, Leonidas Guibas, Benoît Hudson, Clément Maria, Facundo Mémoli, Gary Miller, Maksim Ovsjanikov, Donald Sheehy, Primoz Skraba, and Yue Wang.

Second, I want to thank the people who have helped me design the book and improve its content. Among them, my gratitude goes primarily to Michael Lesnick, for his careful reading of early versions of the manuscript and for his insightful comments that greatly helped improve Part 1 and Appendix A. I am also grateful to the anonymous referees, who provided me with valuable feedback on the flow of the book and on its readability. I also want to thank the people who have proof-read excerpts from the manuscrit and helped me improve the content and exposition locally: Eddie Aamari, Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, Frédéric Chazal, Jérémie Cochoy, Paweł Dłotko, Marc Glisse, Bertrand Michel. Let me apologize in advance to those whose names I may have forgotten in this list.

Finally, I want to thank Sergei Gelfand, Christine Thivierge, and the American Mathematical Society for their interest in the book and for their support to finalize it.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Adcock, D. Rubin, and G. Carlsson. “Classification of hepatic lesions using the matching metric”. In: *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 121 (2014), pp. 36–42 (cit. on p. 8).
- [2] P. K. Agarwal, S. Har-Peled, and K. R. Varadarajan. “Geometric approximation via coresets”. In: *Combinatorial and computational geometry* 52 (2005), pp. 1–30 (cit. on p. 87).
- [3] N. Amenta and M. Bern. “Surface Reconstruction by Voronoi Filtering”. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 22.4 (1999), pp. 481–504 (cit. on p. 72).
- [4] D. Attali and J.-D. Boissonnat. “A Linear Bound on the Complexity of the Delaunay Triangulation of Points on Polyhedral Surfaces”. In: *Discrete and Comp. Geometry* 31 (2004), pp. 369–384 (cit. on p. 83).
- [5] D. Attali, J.-D. Boissonnat, and A. Lieutier. “Complexity of the Delaunay Triangulation of Points on Surfaces: the Smooth Case”. In: *Proc. 19th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.* 2003, pp. 201–210 (cit. on p. 83).
- [6] D. Attali, A. Lieutier, and D. Salinas. “Efficient data structure for representing and simplifying simplicial complexes in high dimensions”. In: *Proc. Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2011, pp. 501–509 (cit. on p. 157).
- [7] D. Attali, H. Edelsbrunner, and Y. Mileyko. “Weak Witnesses for Delaunay Triangulations of Submanifolds”. In: *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM Symposium on Solid and Physical Modeling*. SPM ’07. Beijing, China: ACM, 2007, pp. 143–150. DOI: 10.1145/1236246.1236267 (cit. on p. 91).
- [8] D. Attali, A. Lieutier, and D. Salinas. “Efficient data structure for representing and simplifying simplicial complexes in high dimensions”. In: *International Journal of Computational Geometry & Applications* 22.04 (2012), pp. 279–303 (cit. on p. 48).
- [9] D. Attali, A. Lieutier, and D. Salinas. “Vietoris-Rips complexes also provide topologically correct reconstructions of sampled shapes”. In: *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* 46.4 (2012), pp. 448–465 (cit. on p. 136).
- [10] D. Attali, U. Bauer, O. Devillers, M. Glisse, and A. Lieutier. “Homological reconstruction and simplification in \mathbb{R}^3 ”. In: *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* (2014). To appear (cit. on p. 166).
- [11] D. Attali, M. Glisse, S. Hornus, F. Lazarus, and D. Morozov. “Persistence-sensitive simplification of functions on surfaces in linear time”. In: *Presented at TOPOINVIS* 9 (2009), pp. 23–24 (cit. on p. 166).
- [12] M. Auslander. “Representation theory of Artin algebras II”. In: *Communications in Algebra* 1 (1974), pp. 269–310 (cit. on pp. 17, 27, 193).
- [13] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*. Vol. 36. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1995 (cit. on pp. 163, 193, 196).

- [14] G. Azumaya. “Corrections and supplementaries to my paper concerning Krull-Remak-Schmidt’s theorem”. In: *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* 1 (1950), pp. 117–124 (cit. on pp. 16, 171).
- [15] S. Balakrishnan, B. Fasy, F. Lecci, A. Rinaldo, A. Singh, and L. Wasserman. *Statistical inference for persistent homology*. Tech. rep. arXiv:1303.7117. 2013 (cit. on p. 159).
- [16] U. Bauer and H. Edelsbrunner. “The Morse theory of Čech and Delaunay filtrations”. In: *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. To appear. 2014 (cit. on p. 83).
- [17] U. Bauer, M. Kerber, and J. Reininghaus. *Clear and Compress: Computing Persistent Homology in Chunks*. Research Report arXiv:1303.0477 [math.AT]. 2013 (cit. on pp. 45, 156).
- [18] U. Bauer, M. Kerber, and J. Reininghaus. “Clear and Compress: Computing Persistent Homology in Chunks”. In: *Proc. TopoInVis.* 2013 (cit. on p. 157).
- [19] U. Bauer, C. Lange, and M. Wardetzky. “Optimal topological simplification of discrete functions on surfaces”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 47.2 (2012), pp. 347–377 (cit. on p. 166).
- [20] U. Bauer and M. Lesnick. “Induced Matchings of Barcodes and the Algebraic Stability of Persistence”. In: *Proc. Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2014 (cit. on pp. 28, 49, 61, 62).
- [21] S. Belongie, J. Malik, and J. Puzicha. “Shape Matching and Object Recognition Using Shape Contexts”. In: *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 24.4 (2002), pp. 509–522. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/34.993558> (cit. on p. 133).
- [22] P. Bendich, D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, and D. Morozov. “Inferring local homology from sampled stratified spaces”. In: *Foundations of Computer Science, 2007. FOCS’07. 48th Annual IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. 2007, pp. 536–546 (cit. on pp. 36, 39, 82).
- [23] P. Bendich, S. Chin, J. Clarke, J. deSena, J. Harer, E. Munch, A. Newman, D. Porter, D. Rouse, N. Strawn, et al. “Topological and Statistical Behavior Classifiers for Tracking Applications”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.0214* (2014) (cit. on p. 8).
- [24] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and V. A. Ponomarev. “Coxeter functors and Gabriel’s theorem”. In: *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 28.2 (1973), pp. 17–32 (cit. on pp. 14, 27, 99, 167, 175, 178, 181, 182, 185–187).
- [25] J. Berwald, M. Gidea, and M. Vejdemo-Johansson. “Automatic recognition and tagging of topologically different regimes in dynamical systems”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.2482* (2013) (cit. on p. 8).
- [26] G. Biau, F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, L. Devroye, and C. Rodrígues. “A Weighted k-Nearest Neighbor Density Estimate for Geometric Inference”. In: *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 5 (2011), pp. 204–237 (cit. on p. 126).
- [27] C. M. Bishop. *Neural networks for pattern recognition*. Oxford university press, 1995 (cit. on p. 68).
- [28] J.-D. Boissonnat, L. J. Guibas, and S. Y. Oudot. “Manifold Reconstruction in Arbitrary Dimensions using Witness Complexes”. In: *Discrete and Computational Geometry* 42.1 (2009), pp. 37–70 (cit. on pp. 92, 166).
- [29] J.-D. Boissonnat, F. Chazal, and M. Yvinec. “Computational Topology Inference”. <http://geometrica.saclay.inria.fr/team/Fred.Chazal/>

- papers/CGLcourseNotes/main.pdf. Notes from the course *Computational Geometry Learning* given at the *Parisian Master of Research in Computer Science* (MPRI). 2014 (cit. on p. 42).
- [30] J.-D. Boissonnat, T. K. Dey, and C. Maria. “The Compressed Annotation Matrix: an Efficient Data Structure for Computing Persistent Cohomology”. In: *Proc. European Symposium on Algorithms*. 2013, pp. 695–706 (cit. on pp. 45, 157).
 - [31] J.-D. Boissonnat and A. Ghosh. “Manifold reconstruction using tangential Delaunay complexes”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 51.1 (2014), pp. 221–267 (cit. on p. 166).
 - [32] J.-D. Boissonnat and C. Maria. “Computing persistent homology with various coefficient fields in a single pass”. In: *Algorithms-ESA 2014*. Springer, 2014, pp. 185–196 (cit. on p. 44).
 - [33] J.-D. Boissonnat and C. Maria. “The simplex tree: An efficient data structure for general simplicial complexes”. In: *Proc. European Symposium on Algorithms*. 2012, pp. 731–742 (cit. on pp. 45, 157).
 - [34] K. Borsuk. “On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes”. eng. In: *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 35.1 (1948), pp. 217–234 (cit. on p. 82).
 - [35] K. Borsuk. “Sur les rétractes”. In: *Fund. Math* 17 (1931), pp. 152–170 (cit. on p. 82).
 - [36] M. Brion. *Lecture Notes — Representations of Quivers*. Summer School “Geometric Methods in Representation Theory”. 2008 (cit. on pp. 167, 190).
 - [37] H. Brönnimann and M. Yvinec. “Efficient exact evaluation of signs of determinants”. In: *Algorithmica* 27.1 (2000), pp. 21–56 (cit. on p. 86).
 - [38] A. M. Bronstein, M. M. Bronstein, and R. Kimmel. “Topology-invariant similarity of nonrigid shapes”. In: *Intl. Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)* 81.3 (2009), pp. 281–301 (cit. on p. 134).
 - [39] P. Bubenik. “Statistical Topological Data Analysis using Persistence Landscapes”. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 16 (2015), pp. 77–102 (cit. on pp. 159, 160).
 - [40] P. Bubenik, V. de Silva, and J. Scott. *Metrics for generalized persistence modules*. Research Report arXiv:1312.3829 [math.AT]. 2013 (cit. on pp. 27, 62, 163, 165).
 - [41] P. Bubenik and J. Scott. “Categorification of Persistent Homology”. In: *Discrete and Computational Geometry* (2014). To appear (cit. on pp. 27, 49, 62, 163, 165).
 - [42] M. Buchet, F. Chazal, S. Y. Oudot, and D. Sheehy. “Efficient and Robust Persistent Homology for Measures”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*. 2015, pp. 168–180. doi: 10.1137/1.9781611973730.13 (cit. on pp. 111, 112).
 - [43] J. R. Bunch and J. Hopcroft. “Factorization and Inversion by Fast Matrix Multiplication”. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 28.125 (1974), pp. 231–236 (cit. on p. 46).
 - [44] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov. *A Course in Metric Geometry*. Vol. 33. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2001 (cit. on pp. 140, 143).

- [45] D. Burghesela and T. K. Dey. “Topological persistence for circle-valued maps”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 50.1 (2013), pp. 69–98 (cit. on p. 164).
- [46] C. Caillerie and B. Michel. “Model Selection for Simplicial Approximation”. In: *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 11.6 (2011), pp. 707–731 (cit. on p. 158).
- [47] G. Carlsson, A. Zomorodian, A. Collins, and L. J. Guibas. “Persistence Barcodes for Shapes”. In: *International Journal of Shape Modeling* 11.2 (2005), pp. 149–187 (cit. on p. 135).
- [48] G. Carlsson. “Topology and data”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 46.2 (2009), pp. 255–308 (cit. on pp. vii, 69, 161).
- [49] G. Carlsson and V. de Silva. “Zigzag Persistence”. In: *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 10.4 (2010), pp. 367–405 (cit. on pp. 14, 27, 39, 44, 167, 175, 182–186).
- [50] G. Carlsson, V. de Silva, and D. Morozov. “Zigzag Persistent Homology and Real-valued Functions”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. SCG ’09. 2009, pp. 247–256 (cit. on pp. 27, 37–39, 44, 45, 103, 185).
- [51] G. Carlsson and F. Mémoli. “Characterization, Stability and Convergence of Hierarchical Clustering Methods”. In: *J. Machine Learning Research* 11 (Aug. 2010), pp. 1425–1470 (cit. on p. 117).
- [52] G. Carlsson, G. Singh, and A. Zomorodian. “Computing multidimensional persistence”. In: *Algorithms and computation*. Springer, 2009, pp. 730–739 (cit. on p. 164).
- [53] G. Carlsson and A. Zomorodian. “The Theory of Multidimensional Persistence”. In: *Discrete and Computational Geometry* 42.1 (May 2009), pp. 71–93 (cit. on p. 164).
- [54] G. Carlsson, T. Ishkhanov, V. de Silva, and A. Zomorodian. “On the Local Behavior of Spaces of Natural Images”. In: *Int. J. Comput. Vision* 76.1 (Jan. 2008), pp. 1–12. DOI: [10.1007/s11263-007-0056-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-007-0056-x) (cit. on pp. 8, 106–109).
- [55] H. Carr, J. Snoeyink, and U. Axen. “Computing contour trees in all dimensions”. In: *Proceedings of the eleventh annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 2000, pp. 918–926 (cit. on p. 7).
- [56] M. Carrière, S. Y. Oudot, and M. Ovsjanikov. “Stable Topological Signatures for Points on 3D Shapes”. In: *Computer Graphics Forum (proc. Symposium on Geometry Processing)* (2015) (cit. on pp. 155, 160).
- [57] F. Chazal and A. Lieutier. “The λ -medial axis”. In: *Graphical Models* 67.4 (2005), pp. 304–331 (cit. on pp. 75, 77).
- [58] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, L. J. Guibas, F. Mémoli, and S. Y. Oudot. “Gromov-Hausdorff Stable Signatures for Shapes using Persistence”. In: *Computer Graphics Forum (proc. Symposium on Geometry Processing)* (2009), pp. 1393–1403. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-8659.2009.01516.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8659.2009.01516.x) (cit. on pp. 8, 136, 137, 139, 147).
- [59] F. Chazal and D. Cohen-Steiner. “Geometric Inference”. In: *Tessellations in the Sciences*. To appear. Springer-Verlag, 2013 (cit. on pp. 71, 76).

- [60] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, and A. Lieutier. “A Sampling Theory for Compact Sets in Euclidean Space.” In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 41.3 (2009), pp. 461–479 (cit. on pp. 74, 77).
- [61] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, and A. Lieutier. “Normal Cone Approximation and Offset Shape Isotopy”. In: *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.* 42.6-7 (2009), pp. 566–581 (cit. on p. 78).
- [62] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, and Q. Mérigot. “Geometric Inference for Probability Measures”. In: *J. Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 11.6 (2011), pp. 733–751 (cit. on pp. 78, 110).
- [63] F. Chazal, W. Crawley-Boevey, and V. de Silva. *The observable structure of persistence modules*. Research Report arXiv:1405.5644 [math.RT]. 2014 (cit. on pp. 28, 63, 203).
- [64] F. Chazal, V. de Silva, and S. Oudot. “Persistence stability for geometric complexes”. English. In: *Geometriae Dedicata* (2013), pp. 1–22. DOI: 10.1007/s10711-013-9937-z (cit. on pp. 144, 145).
- [65] F. Chazal and A. Lieutier. “Stability and Computation of Topological Invariants of Solids in \mathbb{R}^n ”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 37.4 (2007), pp. 601–617 (cit. on p. 75).
- [66] F. Chazal and S. Y. Oudot. “Towards Persistence-Based Reconstruction in Euclidean Spaces”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2008, pp. 232–241. DOI: 10.1145/1377676.1377719 (cit. on pp. 62, 82, 89–91, 95, 96, 166).
- [67] F. Chazal, L. Guibas, S. Oudot, and P. Skraba. “Analysis of Scalar Fields over Point Cloud Data”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 46.4 (2011), pp. 743–775 (cit. on pp. 130, 131).
- [68] F. Chazal, M. Glisse, C. Labruère, and B. Michel. “Convergence rates for persistence diagram estimation in Topological Data Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of The 31st International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2014, pp. 163–171 (cit. on p. 159).
- [69] F. Chazal, B. T. Fasy, F. Lecci, A. Rinaldo, A. Singh, and L. Wasserman. “On the Bootstrap for Persistence Diagrams and Landscapes”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.0376* (2013) (cit. on p. 159).
- [70] F. Chazal, L. J. Guibas, S. Oudot, and P. Skraba. “Persistence-Based Clustering in Riemannian Manifolds”. In: *J. ACM* 60.6 (Nov. 2013), 41:1–41:38. DOI: 10.1145/2535927 (cit. on pp. 8, 118, 119, 122–129).
- [71] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, M. Glisse, L. J. Guibas, and S. Y. Oudot. “Proximity of Persistence Modules and their Diagrams”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2009, pp. 237–246 (cit. on pp. 25, 26, 55–57, 62).
- [72] F. Chazal, V. de Silva, M. Glisse, and S. Y. Oudot. *The structure and stability of persistence modules*. Research Report arXiv:1207.3674 [math.AT]. To appear as a volume of the SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. 2012 (cit. on pp. vii, 22–27, 32, 33, 49, 51, 55, 58–60, 62).
- [73] B. Chazelle and J. Matoušek. “On linear-time deterministic algorithms for optimization problems in fixed dimension”. In: *Journal of Algorithms* 21.3 (1996), pp. 579–597 (cit. on p. 86).

- [74] J. Cheeger. “Critical points of distance functions and applications to geometry”. In: *Geometric topology: recent developments*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1504. Springer, 1991, pp. 1–38 (cit. on p. 75).
- [75] C. Chen and M. Kerber. “An Output-Sensitive Algorithm for Persistent Homology”. In: *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* 46.4 (2013), pp. 435–447 (cit. on p. 46).
- [76] W.-Y. Chen, Y. Song, H. Bai, C.-J. Lin, and E. Y. Chang. *PSC: Parallel Spectral Clustering*. <http://www.cs.ucsb.edu/~wychen/sc>. 2008 (cit. on p. 127).
- [77] S.-W. Cheng, T. K. Dey, and E. A. Ramos. “Manifold reconstruction from point samples”. In: *Proc. 16th Sympos. Discrete Algorithms*. 2005, pp. 1018–1027 (cit. on pp. 92, 166).
- [78] J. D. Chodera, N. Singhal, V. S. Pande, K. A. Dill, and W. C. Swope. “Automatic discovery of metastable states for the construction of Markov models of macromolecular conformational dynamics”. In: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 126.15, 155101 (2007), p. 155101 (cit. on p. 128).
- [79] J. D. Chodera, W. C. Swope, J. W. Pitera, and K. A. Dill. “Long-Time Protein Folding Dynamics from Short-Time Molecular Dynamics Simulations”. In: *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation* 5.4 (2006), pp. 1214–1226. DOI: 10.1137/06065146X (cit. on p. 128).
- [80] J. D. Chodera, W. C. Swope, J. W. Pitera, C. Seok, and K. A. Dill. “Use of the Weighted Histogram Analysis Method for the Analysis of Simulated and Parallel Tempering Simulations”. In: *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 3.1 (2007), pp. 26–41. DOI: 10.1021/ct0502864. eprint: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ct0502864> (cit. on p. 128).
- [81] T. Y. Chow. “You Could Have Invented Spectral Sequences”. In: *Notices of the AMS* (2006), pp. 15–19 (cit. on p. 7).
- [82] M. K. Chung, P. Bubenik, and P. T. Kim. “Persistence diagrams of cortical surface data”. In: *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Springer. 2009, pp. 386–397 (cit. on p. 8).
- [83] F. H. Clarke. *Optimization and nonsmooth analysis*. Vol. 5. Siam, 1990 (cit. on p. 75).
- [84] K. L. Clarkson. “Safe and effective determinant evaluation”. In: *Foundations of Computer Science, 1992. Proceedings., 33rd Annual Symposium on*. IEEE. 1992, pp. 387–395 (cit. on p. 86).
- [85] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and D. Morozov. “Vines and Vineyards by Updating Persistence in Linear Time”. In: *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2006, pp. 119–126 (cit. on p. 44).
- [86] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer. “Extending persistence using Poincaré and Lefschetz duality”. In: *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 9.1 (2009), pp. 79–103 (cit. on pp. 7, 34, 36, 44).
- [87] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer. “Stability of Persistence Diagrams”. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 37.1 (Jan. 2007), pp. 103–120. DOI: 10.1007/s00454-006-1276-5 (cit. on pp. 26, 32, 49, 61, 62, 75).
- [88] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, and Y. Mileyko. “Lipschitz functions have L_p -stable persistence”. In: *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 10.2 (2010), pp. 127–139 (cit. on p. 63).

- [89] D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, and D. Morozov. “Persistent Homology for Kernels, Images, and Cokernels”. In: *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. SODA '09. New York, New York: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2009, pp. 1011–1020 (cit. on pp. 39, 44, 131).
- [90] É. Colin de Verdière, G. Ginot, and X. Goaoc. “Helly numbers of acyclic families”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 253 (2014), pp. 163–193 (cit. on pp. 161, 162).
- [91] D. Comaniciu and P. Meer. “Mean Shift: A Robust Approach Toward Feature Space Analysis”. In: *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 24.5 (2002), pp. 603–619 (cit. on p. 116).
- [92] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*. 2nd. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001 (cit. on pp. 47, 88, 121).
- [93] W. Crawley-Boevey. *Decomposition of pointwise finite-dimensional persistence modules*. Research Report arXiv:1210.0819 [math.RT]. 2012 (cit. on pp. 19, 27, 167, 195).
- [94] W. Crawley-Boevey. *Lectures on Representations of Quivers*. Notes for a course of eight lectures given in Oxford in Spring 1992. 1992 (cit. on p. 191).
- [95] W. Crawley-Boevey. *Personal notes, published as part of [63]*. 2013 (cit. on pp. 27, 28).
- [96] M. d'Amico, P. Frosini, and C. Landi. *Natural pseudo-distance and optimal matching between reduced size functions*. Tech. rep. 66. Univ. degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy.: DISMI, 2005 (cit. on p. 135).
- [97] M. d'Amico, P. Frosini, and C. Landi. “Using matching distance in size theory: A survey”. In: *IJIST* 16.5 (2006), pp. 154–161 (cit. on pp. 7, 135).
- [98] V. de Silva and R. Ghrist. “Homological sensor networks”. In: *Notices of the American Mathematical Society* 54.1 (2007), pp. 10–17 (cit. on pp. 8, 68).
- [99] V. de Silva, D. Morozov, and M. Vejdemo-Johansson. “Dualities in persistent (co)homology”. In: *Inverse Problems* 27 (2011), p. 124003 (cit. on pp. 42, 45).
- [100] V. de Silva, D. Morozov, and M. Vejdemo-Johansson. “Persistent cohomology and circular coordinates”. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 45 (2011), pp. 737–759 (cit. on p. 45).
- [101] V. de Silva. “A weak characterisation of the Delaunay triangulation”. In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 135.1 (2008), pp. 39–64 (cit. on p. 91).
- [102] V. de Silva and G. Carlsson. “Topological estimation using witness complexes”. In: *IEEE Symposium on Point-based Graphic* (2004), pp. 157–166 (cit. on pp. 91, 106, 108).
- [103] V. de Silva and R. Ghrist. “Coverage in Sensor Networks via Persistent Homology”. In: *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* 7 (2007), pp. 339–358 (cit. on p. 89).
- [104] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall, and J. Wang. *Finite Dimensional Algebras and Quantum Groups*. Vol. 150. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2008 (cit. on pp. 167, 178, 188, 191).
- [105] M.-L. Dequeant, S. Ahnert, H. Edelsbrunner, T. M. Fink, E. F. Glynn, G. Hattem, A. Kudlicki, Y. Mileyko, J. Morton, A. R. Mushegian, et al. “Comparison of pattern detection methods in microarray time series of the segmentation clock”. In: *PLoS One* 3.8 (2008), e2856 (cit. on p. 8).

- [106] H. Derksen and J. Weyman. “Quiver representations”. In: *Notices of the American Mathematical Society* 52.2 (2005), pp. 200–206 (cit. on p. 167).
- [107] T. K. Dey, F. Fan, and Y. Wang. “Computing Topological Persistence for Simplicial Maps”. In: *Proc. 30th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2014 (cit. on pp. 39, 45, 104, 148, 157).
- [108] T. K. Dey and Y. Wang. “Reeb graphs: approximation and persistence”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 49.1 (2013), pp. 46–73 (cit. on p. 7).
- [109] T. K. Dey, J. Giesen, E. A. Ramos, and B. Sadri. “Critical Points of the Distance to an ε -sampling of a Surface and Flow-complex-based Surface Reconstruction”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-first Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2005, pp. 218–227 (cit. on p. 77).
- [110] P. Donovan and M. R. Freilich. *The representation theory of finite graphs and associated algebras*. Carleton Math. Lecture Notes 5. 1973 (cit. on p. 192).
- [111] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*. Vol. 1999. Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, 1991 (cit. on pp. 13, 167).
- [112] H. Edelsbrunner. “The union of balls and its dual shape”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 13.1 (1995), pp. 415–440 (cit. on p. 83).
- [113] H. Edelsbrunner, D. G. Kirkpatrick, and R. Seidel. “On the shape of a set of points in the plane”. In: *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* IT-29 (1983), pp. 551–559 (cit. on p. 83).
- [114] H. Edelsbrunner and J. Harer. “Persistent homology — a survey”. In: *Contemporary mathematics* 453 (2008), pp. 257–282 (cit. on p. vii).
- [115] H. Edelsbrunner and J. L. Harer. *Computational topology: an introduction*. AMS Bookstore, 2010 (cit. on pp. vii, viii, 8, 42, 43, 63, 123).
- [116] H. Edelsbrunner, G. Jabłonski, and M. Mrozek. “The persistent homology of a self-map”. Preprint. 2013 (cit. on p. 164).
- [117] H. Edelsbrunner and M. Kerber. “Alexander Duality for Functions: The Persistent Behavior of Land and Water and Shore”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-eighth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. SoCG ’12. Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 249–258. doi: 10.1145/2261250.2261287 (cit. on p. 36).
- [118] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian. “Topological Persistence and Simplification”. In: *Discrete and Computational Geometry* 28 (2002), pp. 511–533 (cit. on pp. 26, 30, 43, 46, 165).
- [119] H. Edelsbrunner and D. Morozov. “Persistent Homology: Theory and Practice”. In: *Proceedings of the European Congress of Mathematics*. 2012 (cit. on p. vii).
- [120] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Morozov, and V. Pascucci. “Persistence-sensitive simplification of functions on 2-manifolds”. In: *Proceedings of the twenty-second annual symposium on Computational geometry*. 2006, pp. 127–134 (cit. on p. 166).
- [121] H. Edelsbrunner and S. Parsa. “On the Computational Complexity of Betti Numbers: Reductions from Matrix Rank”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. SIAM. 2014 (cit. on p. 156).
- [122] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod. *Foundations of algebraic topology*. Vol. 952. Princeton NJ, 1952 (cit. on p. 81).

- [123] D. J. Eisenstein, D. H. Weinberg, E. Agol, H. Aihara, C. Allende Prieto, S. F. Anderson, J. A. Arns, É. Aubourg, S. Bailey, E. Balbinot, and et al. “SDSS-III: Massive Spectroscopic Surveys of the Distant Universe, the Milky Way, and Extra-Solar Planetary Systems”. In: *The Astronomical Journal* 142.3, 72 (2011), p. 72. DOI: 10.1088/0004-6256/142/3/72 (cit. on p. 68).
- [124] A. Elad and R. Kimmel. “On Bending Invariant Signatures for Surfaces.” In: *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 25.10 (2003), pp. 1285–1295 (cit. on p. 133).
- [125] K. J. Emmett and R. Rabadan. “Characterizing Scales of Genetic Recombination and Antibiotic Resistance in Pathogenic Bacteria Using Topological Data Analysis”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1219* (2014) (cit. on p. 8).
- [126] E. Escolar and Y. Hiraoka. *Persistence Modules on Commutative Ladders of Finite Type*. Research Report arXiv:1404.7588 [math.AT]. 2014 (cit. on p. 163).
- [127] M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu. “A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise”. In: *Proc. 2nd Internat. Conf. on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD-96)*. AAAI Press, 1996, pp. 226–231 (cit. on p. 115).
- [128] H. Federer. “Curvature Measures”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1959), pp. 418–491 (cit. on pp. 71, 72).
- [129] K. Fischer, B. Gärtner, and M. Kutz. “Fast smallest-enclosing-ball computation in high dimensions”. In: *Algorithms-ESA 2003*. Springer, 2003, pp. 630–641 (cit. on p. 86).
- [130] R. Forman. “A user’s guide to discrete Morse theory”. In: *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* 48 (2002), B48c (cit. on pp. 7, 47).
- [131] P. Frosini. “Discrete Computation of Size Functions”. In: *Journal of Combinatorics, Information, and System Science* 17 (1992), pp. 232–250 (cit. on p. 7).
- [132] P. Gabriel. “Auslander-Reiten sequences and representation-finite algebras”. In: *Representation theory I*. Springer, 1980, pp. 1–71 (cit. on p. 196).
- [133] P. Gabriel. “Unzerlegbare Darstellungen I”. In: *Manuscripta Mathematica* 6 (1972), pp. 71–103 (cit. on pp. 16, 171, 188).
- [134] J. Gamble and G. Heo. “Exploring uses of persistent homology for statistical analysis of landmark-based shape data”. In: *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 101.9 (2010), pp. 2184–2199 (cit. on p. 8).
- [135] M. Gameiro, Y. Hiraoka, S. Izumi, M. Kramar, K. Mischaikow, and V. Nanda. “A Topological Measurement of Protein Compressibility”. Preprint. 2013 (cit. on p. 8).
- [136] J. Gao, L. J. Guibas, S. Oudot, and Y. Wang. “Geodesic Delaunay Triangulation and Witness Complex in the Plane”. In: *Transactions on Algorithms* 6.4 (2010), pp. 1–67 (cit. on pp. 8, 89).
- [137] B. Gärtner. “A subexponential algorithm for abstract optimization problems”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 24.5 (1995), pp. 1018–1035 (cit. on p. 86).
- [138] B. Gärtner. “Fast and robust smallest enclosing balls”. In: *Algorithms-ESA ’99*. Springer, 1999, pp. 325–338 (cit. on p. 86).
- [139] I. M. Gelfand and V. A. Ponomarev. “Problems of linear algebra and classification of quadruples of subspaces in a finite-dimensional vector space”. In:

- Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai.* Vol. 5 (Hilbert space operators). 1970, pp. 163–237 (cit. on p. 189).
- [140] R. Ghrist and A. Muhammad. “Coverage and hole-detection in sensor networks via Homology”. In: *Proc. the 4th International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN'05)*. 2005, pp. 254–260 (cit. on p. 140).
- [141] R. Ghrist. “Barcodes: the persistent topology of data”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 45.1 (2008), pp. 61–75 (cit. on p. vii).
- [142] R. Ghrist. *Elementary Applied Topology*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2014 (cit. on p. viii).
- [143] M. Gromov. “Hyperbolic groups”. In: *Essays in group theory*. Ed. by S. M. Gersten. Vol. 8. MSRI Publications. Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 75–263 (cit. on p. 89).
- [144] M. Gromov. *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*. Vol. 152. Progress in Mathematics. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston Inc., 1999, pp. xx+585 (cit. on p. 133).
- [145] K. Grove. “Critical point theory for distance functions”. In: *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Mathematics*. Vol. 54.3. 1993 (cit. on p. 75).
- [146] L. Guibas, Q. Mérigot, and D. Morozov. “Witnessed k -distance”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 49.1 (2013), pp. 22–45 (cit. on p. 112).
- [147] L. J. Guibas and S. Y. Oudot. “Reconstruction Using Witness Complexes”. In: *Discrete and Computational Geometry* 40.3 (2008), pp. 325–356 (cit. on pp. 95, 98).
- [148] A. B. Hamza and H. Krim. “Geodesic Object Representation and Recognition”. In: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Vol. 2886. Springer, 2003, pp. 378–387 (cit. on p. 133).
- [149] S. Har-Peled and M. Mendel. “Fast construction of nets in low-dimensional metrics and their applications”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 35.5 (2006), pp. 1148–1184 (cit. on pp. 97, 113, 150, 156).
- [150] J. Hartigan. *Clustering Algorithms*. Wiley, 1975 (cit. on p. 115).
- [151] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. H. Friedman. *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*. Springer Series in Statistics. Second edition. Springer, 2009 (cit. on pp. 70, 117).
- [152] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001 (cit. on pp. 45, 71, 81, 82).
- [153] J. H. van Hateren and A. van der Schaaff. “Independent component filters of natural images compared with simple cells in primary visual cortex.” In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, B* 265 (1997), pp. 359–366 (cit. on p. 106).
- [154] J.-C. Hausmann. “On the Vietoris-Rips complexes and a cohomology theory for metric spaces”. In: *Prospects in topology, Ann. of Math. Stud.* 138 (1995), pp. 175–188 (cit. on p. 136).
- [155] G. Heo, J. Gamble, and P. T. Kim. “Topological analysis of variance and the maxillary complex”. In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 107.498 (2012), pp. 477–492 (cit. on p. 8).
- [156] M. Hilaga, Y. Shinagawa, T. Kohmura, and T. L. Kunii. “Topology matching for fully automatic similarity estimation of 3D shapes”. In: *SIGGRAPH '01: Proceedings of the 28th annual conference on Computer graphics and*

- interactive techniques*. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2001, pp. 203–212. doi: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/383259.383282> (cit. on p. 133).
- [157] M. Hilbert and P. López. “The world’s technological capacity to store, communicate, and compute information”. In: *Science* 332.6025 (2011), pp. 60–65 (cit. on p. 67).
 - [158] D. Horak, S. Maletić, and M. Rajković. “Persistent homology of complex networks”. In: *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* 2009.03 (2009), P03034 (cit. on p. 8).
 - [159] B. Hudson, G. Miller, and T. Phillips. “Sparse Voronoi Refinement”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th International Meshing Roundtable*. Long version available as Carnegie Mellon University Technical Report CMU-CS-06-132. Birmingham, Alabama, 2006, pp. 339–356 (cit. on p. 93).
 - [160] B. Hudson, G. L. Miller, T. Phillips, and D. R. Sheehy. “Size Complexity of Volume Meshes vs. Surface Meshes”. In: *SODA: ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. 2009 (cit. on p. 93).
 - [161] B. Hudson, G. L. Miller, S. Y. Oudot, and D. R. Sheehy. “Topological inference via meshing”. In: *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM Symposium on Computational geometry*. Snowbird, Utah, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 277–286. doi: 10.1145/1810959.1811006 (cit. on pp. 92–94).
 - [162] W. Huisenga and B. Schmidt. “Advances in Algorithms for Macromolecular Simulation, chapter Metastability and dominant eigenvalues of transfer operators”. In: *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering*. Springer (2005) (cit. on pp. 128, 129).
 - [163] N. Jacobson. *Basic algebra I*. Dover Publications, 2009 (cit. on pp. 18, 194).
 - [164] N. Jacobson. *Basic algebra II*. Dover Publications, 2009 (cit. on p. 184).
 - [165] A. K. Jain and R. C. Dubes. *Algorithms for clustering data*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1988 (cit. on pp. 115, 117).
 - [166] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. “Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space”. In: *Contemporary mathematics* 26.189-206 (1984), p. 1 (cit. on p. 106).
 - [167] M. Joswig and M. E. Pfetsch. “Computing optimal Morse matchings”. In: *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 20.1 (2006), pp. 11–25 (cit. on p. 48).
 - [168] V. Kac. “Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory”. In: *Inventiones math.* 56 (1980), pp. 57–92 (cit. on p. 191).
 - [169] P. M. Kasson, A. Zomorodian, S. Park, N. Singhal, L. J. Guibas, and V. S. Pande. “Persistent voids: a new structural metric for membrane fusion”. In: *Bioinformatics* 23.14 (2007), pp. 1753–1759 (cit. on p. 8).
 - [170] W. L. Koontz, P. M. Narendra, and K. Fukunaga. “A Graph-Theoretic Approach to Nonparametric Cluster Analysis”. In: *IEEE Trans. on Computers* 24 (1976), pp. 936–944 (cit. on pp. 116, 118, 119).
 - [171] M. Kramar, A. Goulet, L. Kondic, and K. Mischaikow. “Persistence of force networks in compressed granular media”. In: *Physical Review E* 87.4 (2013), p. 042207 (cit. on p. 8).
 - [172] H. Krause. “Representations of Quivers via Reflection Functors”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:0804.1428* (2010) (cit. on pp. 167, 171, 173, 192, 193).

- [173] P. Kumar, J. S. Mitchell, and E. A. Yildirim. “Approximate minimum enclosing balls in high dimensions using core-sets”. In: *Journal of Experimental Algorithmics (JEA)* 8 (2003), pp. 1–1 (cit. on p. 87).
- [174] G. N. Lance and W. T. Williams. “A General Theory of Classificatory Sorting Strategies 1. Hierarchical Systems”. In: *The Computer Journal* 9.4 (1967), pp. 373–380 (cit. on p. 116).
- [175] J. Latschev. “Vietoris-Rips complexes of metric spaces near a closed Riemannian manifold”. In: *Archiv der Mathematik* 77.6 (2001), pp. 522–528 (cit. on p. 136).
- [176] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. “Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE* 86.11 (1998), pp. 2278–2324 (cit. on p. 67).
- [177] A. B. Lee, K. S. Pederson, and D. Mumford. “The Nonlinear Statistics of High-contrast Patches in Natural Images”. In: *Internat. J. of Computer Vision* 54.1-3 (2003), pp. 83–103 (cit. on pp. 68, 106).
- [178] J. Leskovec and A. Krevl. *SNAP Datasets: Stanford Large Network Dataset Collection*. <http://snap.stanford.edu/data>. June 2014 (cit. on p. 67).
- [179] M. Lesnick. *The Theory of the Interleaving Distance on Multidimensional Persistence Modules*. Research Report arXiv:1106.5305 [cs.CG]. 2011 (cit. on pp. 27, 49, 53, 62, 63).
- [180] A. Lieutier. “Any open bounded subset of R^n has the same homotopy type as its medial axis”. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 36.11 (2004), pp. 1029–1046 (cit. on pp. 71, 74).
- [181] S. P. Lloyd. “Least squares quantization in pcm”. In: *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 28.2 (1982), pp. 129–136 (cit. on p. 115).
- [182] U. von Luxburg. “A Tutorial on Spectral Clustering”. In: *Statistics and Computing* 17.4 (2007), pp. 395–416 (cit. on p. 115).
- [183] L. J. van der Maaten, E. O. Postma, and H. J. van den Herik. “Dimensionality reduction: A comparative review”. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 10.1-41 (2009), pp. 66–71 (cit. on p. 3).
- [184] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5. Springer-Verlag, 1971 (cit. on pp. 13, 167).
- [185] S. Manay, D. Cremers, B. Hong, A. Yezzi, and S. Soatto. “Integral Invariants for Shape Matching”. In: *PAMI* 28.10 (2006), pp. 1602–1618 (cit. on p. 133).
- [186] C. Maria and S. Y. Oudot. “Zigzag Persistence via Reflections and Transpositions”. In: *Proc. ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. 2015, pp. 181–199 (cit. on p. 157).
- [187] S. Martin, A. Thompson, E. A. Coutsias, and J.-P. Watson. “Topology of cyclo-octane energy landscape”. In: *The journal of chemical physics* 132.23 (2010), p. 234115 (cit. on p. 68).
- [188] P. Massart and J. Picard. *Concentration inequalities and model selection*. Ecole d’Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXXIII. Vol. 1896. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 2007 (cit. on p. 158).
- [189] P. McMullen. “The maximal number of faces of a convex polytope”. In: *Mathematika* 17 (1970), pp. 179–184 (cit. on p. 86).
- [190] N. Megiddo. “Linear programming in linear time when the dimension is fixed”. In: *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* 31.1 (1984), pp. 114–127 (cit. on p. 86).

- [191] Q. Mérigot. “Lower Bounds for k -distance Approximation”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-ninth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. SoCG '13. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: ACM, 2013, pp. 435–440. DOI: [10.1145/2462356.2462367](https://doi.org/10.1145/2462356.2462367) (cit. on p. 113).
- [192] Michael Lesnick. Personal communication. 2013 (cit. on p. 21).
- [193] Y. Mileyko, S. Mukherjee, and J. Harer. “Probability measures on the space of persistence diagrams”. In: *Inverse Problems* 27.12 (2011), p. 124007 (cit. on p. 158).
- [194] G. L. Miller, T. Phillips, and D. R. Sheehy. “Linear-size meshes”. In: *CCCG: Canadian Conference in Computational Geometry*. 2008 (cit. on p. 94).
- [195] J. W. Milnor. *Morse Theory*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1963 (cit. on pp. 6, 29, 75).
- [196] N. Milosavljevic, D. Morozov, and P. Skraba. *Zigzag Persistent Homology in Matrix Multiplication Time*. Research Report RR-7393. INRIA, 2010 (cit. on p. 46).
- [197] N. Milosavljevic, D. Morozov, and P. Skraba. “Zigzag Persistent Homology in Matrix Multiplication Time”. In: *Proc. 27th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2011 (cit. on pp. 46, 156).
- [198] K. Mischaikow and V. Nanda. “Morse theory for filtrations and efficient computation of persistent homology”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 50.2 (2013), pp. 330–353 (cit. on pp. 7, 48).
- [199] D. Morozov. “Homological Illusions of Persistence and Stability”. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Computer Science, Duke University, 2008 (cit. on pp. 43–46, 156).
- [200] M. Mrozek and B. Batko. “Coreduction homology algorithm”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 41.1 (2009), pp. 96–118 (cit. on p. 48).
- [201] K. Mulmuley. “On levels in arrangements and Voronoi diagrams”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 6.1 (1991), pp. 307–338 (cit. on p. 112).
- [202] J. R. Munkres. *Elements of algebraic topology*. Vol. 2. Addison-Wesley Reading, 1984 (cit. on pp. 29, 42, 136, 143).
- [203] S. F. Nayar, S. A. Nene, and H. Murase. *Columbia object image library (COIL-100)*. Tech. Rep. CUCS-006-96. Columbia University, 1996 (cit. on pp. 3, 67).
- [204] L. A. Nazarova. “Representations of quivers of infinite type”. In: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 37 (4 1973), pp. 752–791 (cit. on p. 192).
- [205] M. Nicolau, A. J. Levine, and G. Carlsson. “Topology based data analysis identifies a subgroup of breast cancers with a unique mutational profile and excellent survival”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108.17 (2011), pp. 7265–7270 (cit. on p. 8).
- [206] P. Niyogi, S. Smale, and S. Weinberger. “Finding the Homology of Submanifolds with High Confidence from Random Samples”. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 39.1 (Mar. 2008), pp. 419–441 (cit. on p. 72).
- [207] R. Osada, T. Funkhouser, B. Chazelle, and D. Dobkin. “Shape distributions”. In: *ACM Trans. Graph.* 21.4 (2002), 807–832, New York, NY, USA. DOI: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/571647.571648> (cit. on p. 133).
- [208] S. Y. Oudot and D. R. Sheehy. “Zigzag Zoology: Rips Zigzags for Homology Inference”. In: *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* (2014), pp. 1–36.

- DOI: 10.1007/s10208-014-9219-7 (cit. on pp. 39, 86, 95, 99, 103, 107–109, 157).
- [209] S. Paris and F. Durand. “A Topological Approach to Hierarchical Segmentation using Mean Shift”. In: *Proc. IEEE conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2007 (cit. on pp. 8, 127).
 - [210] G. Petri, M. Scolamiero, I. Donato, and F. Vaccarino. “Topological strata of weighted complex networks”. In: *PloS one* 8.6 (2013), e66506 (cit. on p. 8).
 - [211] A. Petrunin. “Semiconcave functions in Alexandrov’s geometry”. In: *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI*. Vol. 11. Surv. Differ. Geom. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007, pp. 137–201 (cit. on p. 71).
 - [212] G. Plonka and Y. Zheng. “Relation between total variation and persistence distance and its application in signal processing”. Preprint. 2014 (cit. on p. 8).
 - [213] G. Reeb. “Sur les points singuliers d’une forme de pfaff complement intégrable ou d’une fonction numérique”. In: *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sciences Paris* 222 (1946), pp. 847–849 (cit. on p. 7).
 - [214] J. Reininghaus, S. Huber, U. Bauer, and R. Kwitt. “A Stable Multi-Scale Kernel for Topological Machine Learning”. In: 2015 (cit. on p. 160).
 - [215] M. Reuter, F.-E. Wolter, and N. Peinecke. “Laplace spectra as fingerprints for shape matching”. In: *SPM ’05: Proceedings of the 2005 ACM symposium on Solid and physical modeling*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: ACM Press, 2005, pp. 101–106. DOI: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1060244.1060256> (cit. on p. 133).
 - [216] C. M. Ringel. “The indecomposable representations of the dihedral 2-groups”. In: *Math. Ann.* 214 (1975), pp. 19–34 (cit. on pp. 19, 195).
 - [217] C. M. Ringel and H. Tachikawa. “QF-3 rings”. In: *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 272 (1975), pp. 49–72 (cit. on pp. 17, 27, 193).
 - [218] R. M. Rustamov. “Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions for deformation invariant shape representation”. In: *Symposium on Geometry Processing*. 2007, pp. 225–233 (cit. on p. 133).
 - [219] J. Sander, M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, and X. Xu. “Density-Based Clustering in Spatial Databases: The Algorithm GDBSCAN and Its Applications”. In: *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 2.2 (1998), pp. 169–194 (cit. on p. 115).
 - [220] D. Sheehy. “The Persistent Homology of Distance Functions under Random Projection.” In: *Symposium on Computational Geometry*. 2014, p. 328 (cit. on p. 104).
 - [221] D. R. Sheehy. “Linear-Size Approximations to the Vietoris-Rips Filtration”. In: *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 49.4 (2013), pp. 778–796 (cit. on pp. 39, 104, 148, 149, 151).
 - [222] Y. A. Sheikh, E. Khan, and T. Kanade. “Mode-seeking by Medoidshifts”. In: *Proc. 11th IEEE Internat. Conf. on Computer Vision (ICCV 2007)*. 2007 (cit. on p. 116).
 - [223] P. Shilane, P. Min, M. Kazhdan, and T. Funkhouser. “The Princeton shape benchmark”. In: *Shape modeling applications, 2004. Proceedings. IEEE*. 2004, pp. 167–178 (cit. on p. 67).

- [224] G. Singh, F. Memoli, T. Ishkhanov, G. Sapiro, G. Carlsson, and D. L. Ringach. “Topological analysis of population activity in visual cortex”. In: *Journal of vision* 8.8 (2008) (cit. on p. 8).
- [225] G. Singh, F. Mémoli, and G. E. Carlsson. “Topological Methods for the Analysis of High Dimensional Data Sets and 3D Object Recognition.” In: *Proc. Symposium on Point Based Graphics*. 2007, pp. 91–100 (cit. on p. 161).
- [226] T. Sousbie. “The persistent cosmic web and its filamentary structure—I. Theory and implementation”. In: *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 414.1 (2011), pp. 350–383 (cit. on p. 8).
- [227] T. Sousbie, C. Pichon, and H. Kawahara. “The persistent cosmic web and its filamentary structure—II. Illustrations”. In: *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 414.1 (2011), pp. 384–403 (cit. on p. 8).
- [228] E. H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. Vol. 55. Springer, 1994 (cit. on p. 76).
- [229] R. W. Sumner and J. Popović. “Deformation transfer for triangle meshes”. In: *SIGGRAPH '04: ACM SIGGRAPH 2004 Papers*. Los Angeles, California: Association for Computing Machinery, 2004, pp. 399–405. DOI: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1186562.1015736> (cit. on pp. 134, 138).
- [230] J. B. Tenenbaum, V. De Silva, and J. C. Langford. “A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction”. In: *Science* 290.5500 (2000). Supplemental material., pp. 2319–2323 (cit. on p. 68).
- [231] D. L. Theobald. “Rapid calculation of RMSDs using a quaternion-based characteristic polynomial.” In: *Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography* 61.4 (2005), pp. 478–480 (cit. on p. 128).
- [232] K. Turner, Y. Mileyko, S. Mukherjee, and J. Harer. *Fréchet Means for Distributions of Persistence diagrams*. Research Report arXiv:1206.2790. 2012 (cit. on p. 158).
- [233] A. Vedaldi and S. Soatto. “Quick Shift and Kernel Methods for Mode Seeking”. In: *Proc. European Conf. on Computer Vision (ECCV)*. 2008 (cit. on p. 116).
- [234] M. Vejdemo-Johansson. “Sketches of a platypus: a survey of persistent homology and its algebraic foundations”. In: *Algebraic Topology: Applications and New Directions*. Ed. by U. Tillmann, S. Galatius, and D. Sinha. Vol. 620. Contemporary Mathematics. American Mathematical Soc., 2014 (cit. on p. vii).
- [235] C. Villani. *Topics in optimal transportation*. 58. American Mathematical Soc., 2003 (cit. on p. 63).
- [236] J. Wang. *Geometric structure of high-dimensional data and dimensionality reduction*. Springer, 2012 (cit. on p. 70).
- [237] Y. Wang, H. Ombao, and M. K. Chung. “Persistence Landscape of Functional Signal and Its Application to Epileptic Electroencephalogram Data”. Preprint. 2014 (cit. on p. 8).
- [238] C. Webb. “Decomposition of graded modules”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 94.4 (1985), pp. 565–571 (cit. on pp. 18, 21, 27, 194).
- [239] E. Welzl. “Smallest enclosing disks (balls and ellipsoids)”. In: *New Results and New Trends in Computer Science*. Ed. by H. Maurer. Vol. 555. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1991, pp. 359–370. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0038202](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0038202) (cit. on p. 86).

- [240] A. Yakovleva. “Representation of a quiver with relations”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 89.2 (1998), pp. 1172–1179 (cit. on p. 164).
- [241] R. Zafarani and H. Liu. *Social Computing Data Repository at ASU*. <http://socialcomputing.asu.edu>. 2009 (cit. on p. 67).
- [242] A. Zomorodian. “The tidy set: a minimal simplicial set for computing homology of clique complexes”. In: *Proceedings of the twenty-sixth annual symposium on Computational geometry*. ACM. 2010, pp. 257–266 (cit. on p. 48).
- [243] A. Zomorodian and G. Carlsson. “Computing Persistent Homology”. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 33.2 (2005), pp. 249–274 (cit. on pp. 26, 27, 43).
- [244] A. Zomorodian and G. Carlsson. “Localized homology”. In: *Computational Geometry* 41.3 (2008), pp. 126–148 (cit. on p. 156).
- [245] A. J. Zomorodian. *Topology for computing*. Vol. 16. Cambridge University Press, 2005 (cit. on p. vii).

List of Figures

0.1 A planar point set with several underlying geometric structures	1
0.2 The dendrogram produced by <i>single-linkage</i> on the data set of Figure 0.1	2
0.3 The barcode produced by persistence on the data set of Figure 0.1	2
0.4 A collection of images from the Columbia Object Image Library	3
0.5 A smooth function and a piecewise linear approximation	6
1.1 The four decorated points corresponding to intervals $[b_j^\pm, d_j^\pm]$	22
1.2 A classical example in persistence theory	22
1.3 A decorated point (b^\pm, d^\pm) belonging to a rectangle R	23
1.4 Additivity of μ_V under vertical and horizontal splitting	24
1.5 A quadrant, horizontal strip, vertical strip, and finite rectangle	24
2.1 A simplicial filtration of the octahedron	31
2.2 The full persistence diagram of the height function from Example 1.11	33
2.3 The extended persistence diagram of the height function from Example 1.11	35
2.4 The pyramid for $n = 3$	38
2.5 Run of the matrix reduction algorithm on a filtration of a solid triangle	42
2.6 A filtered planar graph and the spanning tree of negative edges	47
3.1 A smooth function, a piecewise linear approximation, and their diagrams	51
3.2 The snapping rule	54
3.3 Tracking down point $p \in \mathrm{dgm}(V)$ through its successive matchings	56
3.4 Identifying ϕ, ψ with the families of vertical and horizontal morphisms	58
3.5 Effects of various interpolation parameters on the persistence diagrams	60
4.1 Distribution of Matter in the Universe	68
4.2 Sampling of a curve winding around a torus in \mathbb{R}^3	69
4.3 Examples of medial axes	71
4.4 Some compact sets with positive reach	73
4.5 The generalized gradient of the distance to K	74
4.6 Generalized gradient of d_K and its associated flow	74
4.7 A compact set that is not homotopy equivalent to its small offsets	76
4.8 Example with no homologically valid offset of the point cloud	76

4.9 Barcode of the offsets filtration of the point cloud from Figure 4.2	79
4.10 The corresponding persistence diagrams	80
4.11 Union of balls and corresponding Čech complex	81
5.1 Truncated barcode of the Čech filtration on the Clifford data set	86
5.2 A point cloud P and its Rips complex $R_{2i}(P)$	89
5.3 Landmarks set, witnesses set, and corresponding witness complex	90
5.4 Relationship between the offsets and mesh filtrations	93
5.5 Logscale barcode of the mesh filtration on the Clifford data set	95
5.6 Scale-rank plot obtained from the data set of Figure 4.2	96
5.7 Logscale barcode of the oscillating Rips zigzag on the Clifford data set	102
5.8 Logscale barcode of the Morozov zigzag on the Clifford data set	103
5.9 Projecting the natural images data down using dimensionality reduction	107
5.10 Experimental results obtained using the witness filtration	108
5.11 Logscale barcode of the Morozov zigzag on $Q_{k,x}$, $k = 1, 200$, $x = 30\%$	108
5.12 Logscale barcode of the Morozov zigzag on $Q_{k,x}$, $k = 24,000$, $x = 30\%$	109
5.13 Parametrization of the space of high-contrast 3×3 patches	109
5.14 Sublevel sets of the distance-like functions d_P , $d_{\mu_P, m}$, and $d_{\mu_P, m}^P$	111
6.1 Point cloud, dendrogram, and hierarchy produced by persistence	116
6.2 ToMATo in a nutshell	119
6.3 Separation of $\text{dgm}_0(f)$ and partial matching with $\text{dgm}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$	122
6.4 Influence of the partial matching on the regions D_1 and D_2	124
6.5 A function f with unstable basins of attraction	125
6.6 Outputs of the algorithm on a uniform sampling of the domain of f	125
6.7 The twin spirals data set processed using a smaller neighborhood radius	126
6.8 Persistence diagrams obtained on the twin spirals data set	127
6.9 Result of spectral clustering on the twin spirals data set	127
6.10 Biological data set	128
6.11 Quantitative evaluation of the quality of the output of ToMATo	129
6.12 The generalized ToMATo in a nutshell	130
7.1 A database of 3d shapes with six classes	134
7.2 Some toy examples	137
7.3 Bottleneck distance matrix, confusion matrix, and MDS embedding	139
7.4 Overview of the proof of Theorem 7.2	140
7.5 Effect of truncating a filtration as per (7.14) on its persistence diagram	147
7.6 An example where no ball of the offset is ever covered	149
7.7 The additive weight function $t \mapsto s_t(x_k)$ associated to point $x_k \in X$	149
8.1 A cover of the unit circle, and its nerve before and after refinement	161

A.1 Example of a quiver and its underlying undirected graph	168
A.2 The Dynkin diagrams	169
A.3 The Euclidean diagrams	173
A.4 The pyramid travelled down when turning an A_5 -type quiver into L_5	186

Index

- μ -reach, 77
- q(uadrant)-tame, 25, 30, 32
- Artin algebra, 17, 193
- complex
 - α -, 83
 - i -Delaunay, 83
 - (Vietoris-)Rips, 89, 139
 - \check{C} ech, 81, 139
 - nerve, 81
 - sparse Rips, 150
 - weighted Rips, 112
 - witness, 90, 139
- correspondence, 143
- Coxeter functors, 179
- decorated
 - multiset, 25
 - number, 21
 - persistence diagram, 22
 - point, 22
- diamond, 179, 182
 - Mayer-Vietoris, 38
 - principle, 178, 183
- dimension
 - doubling, 87
- distance
 - bottleneck, 50
 - distance-like function, 78
 - Gromov-Hausdorff, 134, 143
 - Hausdorff, 69
 - interleaving, 52
 - power, 111
 - to compact set, 69
 - to measure, 110
 - witnessed k -, 112
- duality
 - EP, 36
- elder rule, 120
- elder rule, 6, 31
- endomorphism ring, 171
- filter, 31
- filtered space, 30
- filtration, 29
 - relative, 34
 - right-, 184
- sublevel-sets, 31
- superlevel-sets, 31
- truncated, 147
- interleaving, 52
 - multiplicative, 87
 - sublevel-sets, 52
 - weak, 55
- interpolation lemma, 57
- interval, 20
 - (in)decomposable, 21
 - decomposition, 20
 - module, 20
 - representation, 17, 175
 - rule, 21
- local endomorphism ring, 171
- medial axis, 71
- morphism
 - of degree ε , 53
 - of representations, 15
- Morse-type function, 37
- multivalued map, 142
- natural images, 106
- nerve, 81
 - lemma, 81
 - persistent, 82
- observable category, 28, 63
- offset, 69
- outliers, 110
- partial matching, 50
- path, 192
 - algebra, 17, 192
- persistence
 - barcode, 22, 26
 - diagram, 22, 26
 - (d_1, d_2) -separated, 123

- extended, 34
- hierarchy, 2, 47, 116
- kernels, images, cokernels, 39
- measure, 23
- module, 20
 - streamlined, 183
- zigzag, 36
- persistent
 - (co-)homology, 30, 32, 34
 - (co-)homology group, 30
- poset representation, 19, 194
- pyramid, 37, 185
 - theorem, 39
- quiver, 14, 168
 - A_n -type, 14, 169
 - \tilde{A}_n -type, 173
 - M, Z, 18, 194
 - algebra, 17, 192
 - category, 19, 194
 - Dynkin, 16, 169
 - Euclidean, 173
 - linear L_n , 14, 180
 - poset, 19, 194
 - tame, 17, 174, 191
 - wild, 17, 174, 191
 - with relations, 19, 195
- quiver representation, 14, 168
 - (in-)decomposable, 16, 170
 - category, 15, 168
 - classification, 16, 170
 - dimension, 170
 - dimension vector, 170
 - direct sum, 15
 - interval, 17, 175
 - morphism, 15, 169
 - kernel, image, cokernel, 16
 - shift, 53
 - pointwise finite-dimensional, 18
 - trivial, 15
- reach, 72
- reflection, 175
 - functor, 176
 - functors theorem, 178
- root, 174
- sampling
 - ε -sample, 136
 - furthest-point, 97
 - subsampling, 95
- signatures
 - persistence-based, 138
- snapping
 - lemma, 55
 - principle, 54
- Sparse Voronoi Refinement, 93
- subrepresentation, 16, 168
- symmetry
- EP, 36
- theorem
 - converse stability, 49
 - Crawley-Boevey, 19, 195
 - Gabriel, 17
 - Gabriel I, 171
 - Gabriel II, 174
 - interval decomposition, 20
 - isometry, 49
 - Kac, 191
 - Krull-Remak-Schmidt, 16, 171
 - pyramid, 39
 - reflection functors, 178
 - stability, 49, 61, 63
 - Webb, 18, 194
- time of appearance, 34, 39
- Tits form, 172
- ToMATo, 118
- topological feature, 30
- totally bounded space, 136
- undecorated
 - persistence diagram, 22
- weak feature size, 75
- zigzag, 36
 - image Rips (iR-ZZ), 98
 - level-sets, 37
 - manipulations, 99
 - module, 20
 - Morozov (M-ZZ), 98
 - oscillating Rips (oR-ZZ), 98

Selected Published Titles in This Series

- 209 **Steve Y. Oudot**, Persistence Theory: From Quiver Representations to Data Analysis, 2015
- 205 **Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik**, Tensor Categories, 2015
- 204 **Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov**, Toric Topology, 2015
- 203 **Donald Yau and Mark W. Johnson**, A Foundation for PROPs, Algebras, and Modules, 2015
- 202 **Shiri Artstein-Avidan, Apostolos Giannopoulos, and Vitali D. Milman**, Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Part I, 2015
- 201 **Christopher L. Douglas, John Francis, André G. Henriques, and Michael A. Hill, Editors**, Topological Modular Forms, 2014
- 200 **Nikolai Nadirashvili, Vladimir Tkachev, and Serge Vlăduț**, Nonlinear Elliptic Equations and Nonassociative Algebras, 2014
- 199 **Dmitry S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and Victor Vinnikov**, Foundations of Free Noncommutative Function Theory, 2014
- 198 **Jörg Jahnel**, Brauer Groups, Tamagawa Measures, and Rational Points on Algebraic Varieties, 2014
- 197 **Richard Evan Schwartz**, The Octagonal PETs, 2014
- 196 **Silouanos Brazitikos, Apostolos Giannopoulos, Petros Valettas, and Beatrice-Helen Vritsiou**, Geometry of Isotropic Convex Bodies, 2014
- 195 **Ching-Li Chai, Brian Conrad, and Frans Oort**, Complex Multiplication and Lifting Problems, 2014
- 194 **Samuel Herrmann, Peter Imkeller, Ilya Pavlyukevich, and Dierk Peithmann**, Stochastic Resonance, 2014
- 193 **Robert Rumely**, Capacity Theory with Local Rationality, 2013
- 192 **Messoud Efendiev**, Attractors for Degenerate Parabolic Type Equations, 2013
- 191 **Grégory Berhuy and Frédérique Oggier**, An Introduction to Central Simple Algebras and Their Applications to Wireless Communication, 2013
- 190 **Aleksandr Pukhlikov**, Birationally Rigid Varieties, 2013
- 189 **Alberto Elduque and Mikhail Kochetov**, Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras, 2013
- 188 **David Lannes**, The Water Waves Problem, 2013
- 187 **Nassif Ghoussoub and Amir Moradifam**, Functional Inequalities: New Perspectives and New Applications, 2013
- 186 **Gregory Berkolaiko and Peter Kuchment**, Introduction to Quantum Graphs, 2013
- 185 **Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour**, Diffeology, 2013
- 184 **Frederick W. Gehring and Kari Hag**, The Ubiquitous Quasidisk, 2012
- 183 **Gershon Kresin and Vladimir Maz'ya**, Maximum Principles and Sharp Constants for Solutions of Elliptic and Parabolic Systems, 2012
- 182 **Neil A. Watson**, Introduction to Heat Potential Theory, 2012
- 181 **Graham J. Leuschke and Roger Wiegand**, Cohen-Macaulay Representations, 2012
- 180 **Martin W. Liebeck and Gary M. Seitz**, Unipotent and Nilpotent Classes in Simple Algebraic Groups and Lie Algebras, 2012
- 179 **Stephen D. Smith**, Subgroup Complexes, 2011
- 178 **Helmut Brass and Knut Petras**, Quadrature Theory, 2011
- 177 **Alexei Myasnikov, Vladimir Shpilrain, and Alexander Ushakov**, Non-commutative Cryptography and Complexity of Group-theoretic Problems, 2011
- 176 **Peter E. Kloeden and Martin Rasmussen**, Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems, 2011

For a complete list of titles in this series, visit the
AMS Bookstore at www.ams.org/bookstore/survseries/.

Persistence theory emerged in the early 2000s as a new theory in the area of applied and computational topology. This book provides a broad and modern view of the subject, including its algebraic, topological, and algorithmic aspects. It also elaborates on applications in data analysis. The level of detail of the exposition has been set so as to keep a survey style, while providing sufficient insights into the proofs so the reader can understand the mechanisms at work.

The book is organized into three parts. The first part is dedicated to the foundations of persistence and emphasizes its connection to quiver representation theory. The second part focuses on its connection to applications through a few selected topics. The third part provides perspectives for both the theory and its applications. The book can be used as a text for a course on applied topology or data analysis.



©INRIA



For additional information
and updates on this book, visit
www.ams.org/bookpages/surv-209

AMS on the Web
www.ams.org

ISBN 978-1-4704-2545-6

A standard linear barcode representing the ISBN number 9781470425456.

9 781470 425456

SURV/209